

Correlated Random Effects Methods for Panel Data Models with Heterogeneous Time Effects

2020 UK Stata Conference
September 10-11 2020

Jeff Wooldridge
Department of Economics
Michigan State University

1. Introduction

- Microeconometric setting with small T , large N .
- Standard unobserved effects model for random draw from the population:

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{d}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + c_i + u_{it}, t = 1, \dots, T$$

- c_i are unobserved random variables (heterogeneity).
- Time period dummies:

$$\mathbf{d}_t = (d_{2_t}, \dots, d_{T_t})$$

- ▶ Used to flexibly control for aggregate factors.

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\beta + \mathbf{d}_t\gamma + c_i + u_{it}, t = 1, \dots, T$$

- \mathbf{x}_{it} only includes variables that have variation across i and t .
- β is of interest.
- Use fixed effects estimation to remove c_i .
- Sometimes called “two-way fixed effects,” but γ are parameters, c_i are not.

- Limitation of the model: If \mathbf{d}_t represents flexible trends, all units i follow the same trend.
- Allow heterogeneous time effects:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{it} &= \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{d}_t\mathbf{g}_i + c_i + u_{it} \\
 &= \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + d2_t g_{i2} + d3_t g_{i3} + \cdots + dT_t g_{iT} + c_i + u_{it}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Each unit has its own intercept, and these also vary across t .

- Now write

$$\mathbf{g}_i \equiv \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{h}_i, \quad E(\mathbf{h}_i) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{d}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + c_i + \mathbf{d}_t\mathbf{h}_i + u_{it}$$

- Cannot treat (c_i, \mathbf{h}_i) as parameters to estimate without assuming $\{u_{it} : t = 1, \dots, T\}$ are IID. [Ahn, Lee, Schmidt (1993, Journal of Econometrics)].
- Should (but will not) carefully compare with small- T factor literature, especially ALS (2013, J of E).
 - ▶ Current approach allows more heterogeneity.
 - ▶ Current approach is computationally much simpler.

Remainder of Talk

- When are (generalized) FE estimators that ignore $\mathbf{d}_t \mathbf{h}_i$ consistent?
- Modeling $E(\mathbf{h}_i | \mathbf{x}_i)$ in

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{d}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + c_i + \mathbf{d}_t \mathbf{h}_i + u_{it}$$

use CRE and equivalence of different estimators.

- Empirical example.
- Extensions to unit-specific trends.

2. Robustness of Standard Fixed Effects Estimators

- Suppose the true model is

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\beta + \mathbf{d}_t\gamma + c_i + \mathbf{d}_t\mathbf{h}_i + u_{it}$$

$$E(u_{it}|\mathbf{x}_i, c_i, \mathbf{h}_i) = 0$$

but we ignore $\mathbf{d}_t\mathbf{h}_i$.

- Apply standard FE to remove c_i .
- Can apply an extension of Wooldridge (2005, REStat).

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbf{x}_{it}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$$

- Sufficient is

$$E(\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{it} \otimes \mathbf{h}_i) = \mathbf{0}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T.$$

- Holds if

$$\mathbf{x}_{it} = \mathbf{q}_i + \mathbf{e}_{it}$$

$$E(\mathbf{e}_{it} \otimes \mathbf{h}_i) = \mathbf{0}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$Cov(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{h}_i)$ unrestricted

- If $T > 2$, can remove more heterogeneity from \mathbf{x}_{it} , such as unit-specific linear trends.
- Now obtain $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{it}$ – detrended covariates – as residuals from

\mathbf{x}_{it} on $1, t, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$

- This allows for unit-specific trends:

$$\mathbf{x}_{it} = \mathbf{q}_i + \mathbf{m}_i t + \mathbf{e}_{it}$$

$Cov(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{h}_i), \quad Cov(\mathbf{m}_i, \mathbf{h}_i)$ unrestricted

- But this representation for \mathbf{x}_{it} is still special.

3. CRE Approach to Heterogeneous Time Effects

- Now explicitly recognize the heterogeneity terms $\mathbf{d}_t \mathbf{h}_i$ in

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{d}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + c_i + \mathbf{d}_t \mathbf{h}_i + u_{it}$$

$$E(u_{it}|\mathbf{x}_i, c_i, \mathbf{h}_i) = 0$$

- Remove c_i by within-unit demeaning:

$$\ddot{y}_{it} = \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \ddot{\mathbf{d}}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \ddot{\mathbf{d}}_t \mathbf{h}_i + \ddot{u}_{it}$$

- Make a Mundlak (1978) CRE assumption on \mathbf{h}_i :

$$E(h_{ir}|\mathbf{x}_i) = (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}})\boldsymbol{\xi}_r, \quad r = 2, \dots, T$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}} \equiv E(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)$$

- Leads to

$$\ddot{y}_{it} = \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \ddot{\mathbf{d}}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \ddot{d}_2(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}})\boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \cdots + \ddot{d}_T(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}})\boldsymbol{\xi}_T + \ddot{e}_{it}$$

$$E(\ddot{e}_{it}|\mathbf{x}_i) = 0$$

- ▶ Replace $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}$ with the overall sample average, $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$.
- ▶ Can estimate all parameters consistently by POLS.

- Numerically identical to applying usual FE to the equation

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{d}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + d2_t(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})\boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \cdots + dT_t(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})\boldsymbol{\xi}_T + f_i + e_{it}$$

- Call the estimates $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{FEH}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{FEH}$.
- Use cluster-robust standard errors.
- Can use robust Wald test of

$$H_0 : \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 = \boldsymbol{\xi}_3 = \cdots = \boldsymbol{\xi}_T = \mathbf{0}$$

Comments

- It is possible to strongly reject

$$H_0 : \xi_2 = \xi_3 = \cdots = \xi_T = \mathbf{0}$$

and have $\hat{\beta}_{FEH} \approx \hat{\beta}_{FE}$.

- ▶ Provides a robustness check on usual FE estimates.
- All estimates can be obtained from the Mundlak equation:

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \mathbf{x}_{it}\beta + \mathbf{d}_t\gamma + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i\psi + d2_t(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})\xi_2 + \cdots + dT_t(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})\xi_T + r_{it}$$

▶ Pooled OLS or RE both produce $\hat{\beta}_{FEH}, \hat{\gamma}_{FEH}$.

- Can easily include time-constant covariates, say \mathbf{z}_i .
 - ▶ Just adding \mathbf{z}_i to the Mundlak equation changes none of the estimates (except intercept): Wooldridge (2019, Journal of Econometrics).
- Can add

$$ds_t(\mathbf{z}_i - \bar{\mathbf{z}})$$

to allow more heterogeneity in time effects.

- ▶ This will change the estimates of β (and γ).

4. Empirical Illustration

- Data on $N = 1,149$ U.S. air routes. $T = 4$.
- $lfare_{it}$ is log of average airfare. $concen_{it}$ is a market power measure.

```
. egen double concenbar = mean(concen), by(id)
```

```
. sum concenbar
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
concenbar	4,596	.6101149	.1888741	.1862	.9997

```
. gen double concenbar_dm = concenbar - r(mean)
```

```
. sum ldist
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
ldist	4,596	6.696482	.6593177	4.553877	7.909857

```
. gen double ldist_dm = ldist - r(mean)
```

```

. xtreg lfare concen y98 y99 y00, fe vce(cluster id)

Fixed-effects (within) regression
Group variable: id

R-sq:
    within = 0.1352
    between = 0.0576
    overall = 0.0083

Number of obs      =      4,596
Number of groups  =     1,149

Obs per group:
    min =          4
    avg =        4.0
    max =          4

F(4,1148)           =     120.06
Prob > F            =     0.0000

corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.2033

(Std. Err. adjusted for 1,149 clusters in id)
-----  

      |      Robust
lfare |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t   P>|t| [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----  

concen |   .168859   .0494587    3.41  0.001   .0718194   .2658985
y98   |   .0228328   .004163    5.48  0.000   .0146649   .0310007
y99   |   .0363819   .0051275    7.10  0.000   .0263215   .0464422
y00   |   .0977717   .0055054   17.76  0.000   .0869698   .1085735
_cons |  4.953331   .0296765  166.91  0.000   4.895104   5.011557
-----+-----  

sigma_u |   .43389176
sigma_e |   .10651186
rho    |   .94316439 (fraction of variance due to u_i)
-----+

```

```
. * Usual Mundlak regression, with time constant variables added:  
. reg lfare concen concenbar c.ldist c.ldist_dm#c.ldist_dm y98 y99 y00 , vce(cluster id)
```

Linear regression

Number of obs	=	4,596
F(7, 1148)	=	181.88
Prob > F	=	0.0000
R-squared	=	0.4068
Root MSE	=	.33637

(Std. Err. adjusted for 1,149 clusters in id)

lfare	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
concen	.168859	.0494749	3.41	0.001	.0717877	.2659303
concenbar	.2136346	.0816403	2.62	0.009	.0534538	.3738155
ldist	.4818306	.0178697	26.96	0.000	.4467698	.5168914
c.ldist_dm#c.ldist_dm	.1038426	.0201911	5.14	0.000	.064227	.1434582
y98	.0228328	.0041643	5.48	0.000	.0146622	.0310033
y99	.0363819	.0051292	7.09	0.000	.0263183	.0464455
y00	.0977717	.0055072	17.75	0.000	.0869663	.108577
_cons	1.551289	.1473768	10.53	0.000	1.262131	1.840447

```

. * Heterogeneous time effects using Mundlak:
.

. reg lfare concen concenbar c.ldist c.ldist_dm#c.ldist_dm y98 y99 y00 ///
>      c.y98#c.concenbar_dm c.y99#c.concenbar_dm c.y00#c.concenbar_dm, ///
>      vce(cluster id)

Linear regression                                         Number of obs     =      4,596
                                                               F(10, 1148)      =     136.24
                                                               Prob > F        =     0.0000
                                                               R-squared       =     0.4078
                                                               Root MSE        =     .33619

                                                (Std. Err. adjusted for 1,149 clusters in id)
-----  

          |           Robust
lfare |   Coef.    Std. Err.      t    P>|t| [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----  

concen |   .168456   .0490432    3.43  0.001   .0722316   .2646805
concenbar |   .116914   .083664     1.40  0.163  -.0472374   .2810655
ldist |   .4818306   .0178755   26.95  0.000   .4467583   .5169029  

c.ldist_dm#c.ldist_dm |   .1038426   .0201977    5.14  0.000   .0642141   .1434711  

y98 |   .0228364   .0041561    5.49  0.000   .0146819   .0309908
y99 |   .0363788   .0050715    7.17  0.000   .0264284   .0463291
y00 |   .0977672   .0053859   18.15  0.000   .0871999   .1083346

```

c.y98#c.concenbar_dm	.0616642	.0232143	2.66	0.008	.0161169	.1072114
c.y99#c.concenbar_dm	.1307868	.0285472	4.58	0.000	.0747762	.1867974
c.y00#c.concenbar_dm	.1960431	.0318187	6.16	0.000	.1336138	.2584724
_cons	1.610546	.1486973	10.83	0.000	1.318797	1.902295

```

. * FE gives same estimates:
.
. xtreg lfare concen y98 y99 y00 ///
>           c.y98#c.concenbar_dm c.y99#c.concenbar_dm c.y00#c.concenbar_dm, fe ///
>           vce(cluster id)

                                         (Std. Err. adjusted for 1,149 clusters in id)
-----  

          |      Robust  

lfare |      Coef.    Std. Err.      t     P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]  

-----+-----  

concen |    .168456    .0490272    3.44    0.001    .0722631    .264649  

y98 |    .0228364    .0041548    5.50    0.000    .0146846    .0309881  

y99 |    .0363788    .0050698    7.18    0.000    .0264317    .0463259  

y00 |    .0977672    .0053842   18.16    0.000    .0872033    .1083312  

c.y98#c.concenbar_dm |    .0616642    .0232067    2.66    0.008    .0161318    .1071965  

c.y99#c.concenbar_dm |    .1307868    .0285379    4.58    0.000    .0747945    .1867791  

c.y00#c.concenbar_dm |    .1960431    .0318083    6.16    0.000    .1336342    .258452  

_cons |  4.953577    .0293317  168.88    0.000    4.896028    5.011127
-----+

```

```
. * Interact distance with time dummies:
```

```
. reg lfare concen concenbar ldist c.ldist_dm#c.ldist_dm y98 y99 y00 ///
>      c.y98#c.concenbar_dm c.y99#c.concenbar_dm c.y00#c.concenbar_dm ///
>      c.y98#c.ldist_dm c.y99#c.ldist_dm c.y00#c.ldist_dm, ///
>      vce(cluster id)
```

Linear regression

Number of obs	=	4,596
F(13, 1148)	=	106.35
Prob > F	=	0.0000
R-squared	=	0.4082
Root MSE	=	.33618

(Std. Err. adjusted for 1,149 clusters in id)

		Robust				
lfare		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
concen		.1681968	.0492655	3.41	0.001	.0715364 .2648573
concenbar		.1497355	.0869212	1.72	0.085	-.0208067 .3202777
ldist		.4986949	.0197181	25.29	0.000	.4600074 .5373823
c.ldist_dm#c.ldist_dm		.1038426	.0202043	5.14	0.000	.0642011 .1434841
y98		.0228387	.0041539	5.50	0.000	.0146886 .0309888
y99		.0363768	.0050668	7.18	0.000	.0264355 .046318
y00		.0977644	.0053295	18.34	0.000	.0873077 .108221

c.y98#c.concenbar_dm	.0297475	.0267611	1.11	0.267	-.0227587	.0822536
c.y99#c.concenbar_dm	.1160657	.0343829	3.38	0.001	.0486053	.1835261
c.y00#c.concenbar_dm	.1124318	.036763	3.06	0.002	.0403016	.1845619
c.y98#c.ldist_dm	-.0165298	.0068546	-2.41	0.016	-.0299786	-.0030809
c.y99#c.ldist_dm	-.0076258	.009357	-0.81	0.415	-.0259845	.0107329
c.y00#c.ldist_dm	-.0433015	.0099287	-4.36	0.000	-.0627819	-.0238211
_cons	1.477749	.1633311	9.05	0.000	1.157288	1.79821

```
. test c.y98#c.concenbar_dm c.y99#c.concenbar_dm c.y00#c.concenbar_dm ///
>      c.y98#c.ldist_dm c.y99#c.ldist_dm c.y00#c.ldist_dm
```

```
( 1) c.y98#c.concenbar_dm = 0
( 2) c.y99#c.concenbar_dm = 0
( 3) c.y00#c.concenbar_dm = 0
( 4) c.y98#c.ldist_dm = 0
( 5) c.y99#c.ldist_dm = 0
( 6) c.y00#c.ldist_dm = 0
```

```
F( 6, 1148) = 12.72
Prob > F = 0.0000
```

5. Allowing for Heterogeneous Slopes

- A model where everything is heterogeneous:

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\mathbf{b}_i + c_i + \mathbf{d}_t\mathbf{g}_i + u_{it}$$

$$\mathbf{b}_i = \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{a}_i$$

$$\mathbf{g}_i = \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{h}_i$$

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + c_i + \mathbf{d}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{x}_{it}\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{d}_t\mathbf{h}_i + u_{it}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_i = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}})' + \mathbf{q}_i$$

$$\mathbf{h}_i = \boldsymbol{\Xi}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}})' + \mathbf{f}_i$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{it} &= \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{d}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \mathbf{x}_{it}[\Lambda(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}})'] + \mathbf{d}_t[\Lambda(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}})'] + c_i + \nu_{it} \\
&= \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{d}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + [(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}) \otimes \mathbf{x}_{it}]\boldsymbol{\lambda} + [(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}) \otimes \mathbf{d}_t]\boldsymbol{\xi} + c_i + \nu_{it}
\end{aligned}$$

$$E(\nu_{it}|\mathbf{x}_i) = 0, t = 1, \dots, T$$

- Remove c_i using fixed effects.
- Or apply Mundlak, adding

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i, (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$$

- Can also add

$$(\mathbf{z}_i - \bar{\mathbf{z}}) \otimes \mathbf{x}_{it}$$

```

. * Now heterogeneous slope on concen, too.

.
. reg lfare concen c.concen#c.concenbar_dm c.concen#c.ldist_dm ///
>      concenbar ldist c.ldist_dm#c.ldist_dm y98 y99 y00 ///
>      c.concenbar#c.concenbar_dm c.concenbar#c.ldist_dm ///
>      c.y98#c.concenbar_dm c.y99#c.concenbar_dm c.y00#c.concenbar_dm ///
>      c.y98#c.ldist_dm c.y99#c.ldist_dm c.y00#c.ldist_dm, ///
>      vce(cluster id)

                                         (Std. Err. adjusted for 1,149 clusters in
-----


| lfare                   | Robust    |           |       |       |                     |           |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|---------------------|-----------|
|                         | Coef.     | Std. Err. | t     | P> t  | [95% Conf. Interval |           |
| concen                  | .1690247  | .0500444  | 3.38  | 0.001 | .0708359            | .2672134  |
| c.concen#c.concenbar_dm | .3101472  | .4075416  | 0.76  | 0.447 | -.4894626           | 1.109757  |
| c.concen#c.ldist_dm     | -.2097112 | .0907065  | -2.31 | 0.021 | -.3876804           | -.0317421 |
| concenbar               | .1396369  | .2389655  | 0.58  | 0.559 | -.3292212           | .6084949  |
| ldist                   | .3880281  | .0856013  | 4.53  | 0.000 | .2200756            | .5559807  |
| c.ldist_dm#c.ldist_dm   | .1286053  | .0225076  | 5.71  | 0.000 | .0844446            | .172766   |
| y98                     | .0230028  | .0041427  | 5.55  | 0.000 | .0148747            | .0311308  |
| y99                     | .0355884  | .0050872  | 7.00  | 0.000 | .0256071            | .0455698  |
| y00                     | .0976618  | .005291   | 18.46 | 0.000 | .0872806            | .108043   |


```

c.concenbar#c.concenbar_dm	-.2588744	.5716612	-0.45	0.651	-1.380492	.8627436
c.concenbar#c.ldist_dm	.4014202	.1687987	2.38	0.018	.0702316	.7326088
c.y98#c.concenbar_dm	.0262151	.0272121	0.96	0.336	-.027176	.0796061
c.y99#c.concenbar_dm	.1131609	.0340452	3.32	0.001	.0463631	.1799587
c.y00#c.concenbar_dm	.1065938	.0363228	2.93	0.003	.0353272	.1778604
c.y98#c.ldist_dm	-.0144794	.0069094	-2.10	0.036	-.0280359	-.000923
c.y99#c.ldist_dm	-.0080089	.0093604	-0.86	0.392	-.0263743	.0103564
c.y00#c.ldist_dm	-.0442223	.0096118	-4.60	0.000	-.0630809	-.0253637
_cons	2.225276	.6831325	3.26	0.001	.8849479	3.565604

6. Allowing for Unit-Specific Trends

- Now allow the base model to have heterogeneous linear trends:

$$y_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{d}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + c_i + g_i t + \mathbf{d}_t\mathbf{h}_i + u_{it}$$

- In removing the linear trends, lose one element of \mathbf{d}_t .
- In running the regressions (for each i),

\mathbf{x}_{it} on $1, t, t = 1, \dots, T,$

let

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{it} = \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{i0} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{i1}t$$

- Detrended values:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{it} = \mathbf{x}_{it} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{it}$$

$$\ddot{y}_{it} = \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \ddot{\mathbf{d}}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \ddot{\mathbf{d}}_t\mathbf{h}_i + \ddot{u}_{it}$$

- Model \mathbf{h}_i as a (linear) function of

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_i = (\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{i0}, \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{i1})$$

- Use pooled OLS on

$$\ddot{y}_{it} = \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \ddot{\mathbf{d}}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + (\ddot{\mathbf{d}}_t \otimes \hat{\mathbf{a}}_i)\boldsymbol{\xi} + \ddot{e}_{it}$$

- Using an extension of the Mundlak result, can show it is algebraically equivalent to using POLS on

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \mathbf{x}_{it}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{d}_t\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i\boldsymbol{\eta} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{it}\boldsymbol{\theta} + (\mathbf{d}_t \otimes \hat{\mathbf{a}}_i)\boldsymbol{\xi} + r_{it}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{it} = \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{i0} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{i1}t$$

- Apply to a passenger “demand” model.

```
. * First apply the previous model where only time averages are used.

. reg lpassen concen concenbar ldist ldistsq y98 y99 y00 ///
>      c.y98#c.concenbar_dm c.y99#c.concenbar_dm c.y00#c.concenbar_dm ///
>      lfare lfarebar c.y98#c.lfarebar_dm c.y99#c.lfarebar_dm ///
>      c.y00#c.lfarebar_dm, vce(cluster id)

Linear regression                                         Number of obs     =      4,596
                                                               F(15, 1148)      =      39.97
                                                               Prob > F        =      0.0000
                                                               R-squared       =      0.0770
                                                               Root MSE        =      .85065

                                                (Std. Err. adjusted for 1,149 clusters in id)
-----  

          |      Robust  

lpassen |      Coef.    Std. Err.      t      P>|t|      [95% Conf. Interval]  

-----+-----  

concen |     .1390506   .0899681     1.55    0.122    -.0374697   .3155709  

concenbar |   -.6315775   .2026034    -3.12    0.002    -1.029092   -.2340631  

ldist |    -1.303931   .7013855    -1.86    0.063    -2.680072   .0722106  

ldistsq |     .0949819   .05304     1.79    0.074    -.0090842   .199048  

y98 |     .045365    .004841     9.37    0.000     .0358667   .0548632  

y99 |     .1035984   .006301    16.44    0.000     .0912357   .1159612  

y00 |     .1966456   .0101262    19.42    0.000     .1767777   .2165135
```

c.y98#c.concenbar_dm	-.0011952	.026645	-0.04	0.964	-.0534735	.0510832
c.y99#c.concenbar_dm	-.035792	.0343854	-1.04	0.298	-.1032573	.0316732
c.y00#c.concenbar_dm	-.1049551	.0379231	-2.77	0.006	-.1793614	-.0305489
lfare	-1.15989	.1091893	-10.62	0.000	-1.374123	-.9456567
lfarebar	.7215856	.1377878	5.24	0.000	.4512414	.9919297
c.y98#c.lfarebar_dm	-.053968	.0112635	-4.79	0.000	-.0760674	-.0318685
c.y99#c.lfarebar_dm	-.054394	.0133306	-4.08	0.000	-.0805491	-.028239
c.y00#c.lfarebar_dm	-.0275061	.0166339	-1.65	0.098	-.0601424	.0051302
_cons	12.89575	2.323339	5.55	0.000	8.337285	17.45422

```
. * Now use the unit-specific linear trend heterogeneity:  
  
. gen double lpassen_h = .  
(4,596 missing values generated)  
  
. gen double lpassen_dt = .  
(4,596 missing values generated)  
  
. gen double lfare_h = .  
(4,596 missing values generated)  
  
. gen double lfare_dt = .  
(4,596 missing values generated)  
  
. gen double lfare_a0 = .  
(4,596 missing values generated)  
  
. gen double lfare_a1 = .  
(4,596 missing values generated)  
  
. gen double concen_h = .  
(4,596 missing values generated)  
  
. gen double concen_dt = .  
(4,596 missing values generated)  
  
. gen double concen_a0 = .  
(4,596 missing values generated)
```

```
. gen double concen_a1 = .
(4,596 missing values generated)

. gen double y98_h = .
(4,596 missing values generated)

. gen double y99_h = .
(4,596 missing values generated)

. gen double y00_h = .
(4,596 missing values generated)

. gen double y98_dt = .
(4,596 missing values generated)

. gen double y99_dt = .
(4,596 missing values generated)

. gen double y00_dt = .
(4,596 missing values generated)

. gen double y98_a1_t = .
(4,596 missing values generated)

. gen double y99_a1_t = .
(4,596 missing values generated)

. gen double y00_a1_t = .
(4,596 missing values generated)
```

```

. gen t = year - 1997

. local i = 1

. while `i' <= 1149 {
2.
.    qui reg lpassen t if id == `i'
3.    predict lpassen_t, xb
4.    qui replace lpassen_h = lpassen_t if id == `i'
5.    qui replace lpassen_dt = lpassen - lpassen_t if id == `i'
6.
.    qui reg lfare t if id == `i'
7.    qui replace lfare_a0 = _b[_cons] if id == `i'
8.    qui replace lfare_a1 = _b[t] if id == `i'
9.    predict lfare_t, xb
10.   qui replace lfare_h = lfare_t if id == `i'
11.   qui replace lfare_dt = lfare - lfare_t if id == `i'
12.
.    qui reg concen t if id == `i'
13.    qui replace concen_a0 = _b[_cons] if id == `i'
14.    qui replace concen_a1 = _b[t] if id == `i'
15.    predict concen_t, xb
16.    qui replace concen_h = concen_t if id == `i'
17.    qui replace concen_dt = concen - concen_t if id == `i'
18.

```

```

.
    qui reg y98 t if id == 'i'
19. predict y98_t, xb
20.     qui replace y98_h = y98_t if id == 'i'
21.     qui replace y98_dt = y98 - y98_t if id == 'i'
22.         qui replace y98_a1_t = _b[t]*t if id == 'i'
23.

.
    qui reg y99 t if id == 'i'
24. predict y99_t, xb
25.     qui replace y99_h = y99_t if id == 'i'
26.     qui replace y99_dt = y99 - y99_t if id == 'i'
27.         qui replace y99_a1_t = _b[t]*t if id == 'i'
28.

.
    qui reg y00 t if id == 'i'
29. predict y00_t, xb
30.     qui replace y00_h = y00_t if id == 'i'
31.     qui replace y00_dt = y00 - y00_t if id == 'i'
32.         qui replace y00_a1_t = _b[t]*t if id == 'i'
33.

.
    drop lfare_t concen_t lpassen_t y98_t y99_t y00_t
34.

.
    local i = 'i' + 1
35. }

```

```

. sum concen_h

      Variable |       Obs        Mean    Std. Dev.        Min        Max
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
concen_h |     4,596    .6101149    .1929988    .15482    1.0747

. gen double concen_h_dm = concen_h - r(mean)

. sum lfare_h

      Variable |       Obs        Mean    Std. Dev.        Min        Max
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
lfare_h |     4,596    5.095601    .4322098    3.836819    6.26246

. gen double lfare_h_dm = lfare_h - r(mean)

. sum concen_a0

      Variable |       Obs        Mean    Std. Dev.        Min        Max
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
concen_a0 |     4,596    .6175428    .1959924    .15482    1.01686

. gen double concen_a0_dm = concen_a0 - r(mean)

```

```

. sum concen_a1

      Variable |       Obs        Mean    Std. Dev.        Min        Max
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
  concen_a1 |     4,596   -.0049519    .0351504   -.16346    .1463

. gen double concen_a1_dm = concen_a1 - r(mean)

. sum lfare_a0

      Variable |       Obs        Mean    Std. Dev.        Min        Max
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
  lfare_a0 |     4,596    5.050825    .4526443   3.836819   6.069202

. gen double lfare_a0_dm = lfare_a0 - r(mean)

. sum lfare_a1

      Variable |       Obs        Mean    Std. Dev.        Min        Max
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
  lfare_a1 |     4,596    .0298502    .0637264  -.3344203   .5016729

. gen double lfare_a1_dm = lfare_a1 - r(mean)

```

```

. reg lpassen_dt concen_dt lfare_dt y98_dt y99_dt y00_dt ///
>      c.y98_dt#c.concen_a0_dm c.y99_dt#c.concen_a0_dm c.y00_dt#c.concen_a0_dm ///
>      c.y98_dt#c.lfare_a0_dm c.y99_dt#c.lfare_a0_dm c.y00_dt#c.lfare_a0_dm ///
>      c.y98_dt#c.concen_a1_dm c.y99_dt#c.concen_a1_dm c.y00_dt#c.concen_a1_dm ///
>      c.y98_dt#c.lfare_a1_dm c.y99_dt#c.lfare_a1_dm c.y00_dt#c.lfare_a1_dm,
>      nocons vce(cluster id)

```

note: y00_dt omitted because of collinearity
note: c.y00_dt#c.concen_a0_dm omitted because of collinearity
note: c.y00_dt#c.lfare_a0_dm omitted because of collinearity
note: c.y00_dt#c.concen_a1_dm omitted because of collinearity
note: c.y00_dt#c.lfare_a1_dm omitted because of collinearity

Linear regression

Number of obs	=	4,596
F(12, 1148)	=	34.15
Prob > F	=	0.0000
R-squared	=	0.4038
Root MSE	=	.07314

(Std. Err. adjusted for 1,149 clusters in id)

		Robust				
	lpassen_dt	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
concen_dt		.0049276	.0951786	0.05	0.959	-.181816 .1916712
lfare_dt		-1.006965	.0660814	-15.24	0.000	-1.136619 -.8773108
y98_dt		-.017328	.004024	-4.31	0.000	-.0252232 -.0094328
y99_dt		-.0231335	.0044971	-5.14	0.000	-.0319568 -.0143101
y00_dt		0	(omitted)			

c.y98_dt#c.concen_a0_dm	.0247107	.0192804	1.28	0.200	-.013118	.0625394
c.y99_dt#c.concen_a0_dm	.0308164	.0193918	1.59	0.112	-.007231	.0688639
c.y00_dt#c.concen_a0_dm	0 (omitted)					
c.y98_dt#c.lfare_a0_dm	-.0329983	.0083758	-3.94	0.000	-.0494319	-.0165648
c.y99_dt#c.lfare_a0_dm	-.0375524	.0079381	-4.73	0.000	-.0531273	-.0219776
c.y00_dt#c.lfare_a0_dm	0 (omitted)					
c.y98_dt#c.concen_a1_dm	.0450727	.1310509	0.34	0.731	-.2120534	.3021988
c.y99_dt#c.concen_a1_dm	.0196799	.1975331	0.10	0.921	-.3678863	.4072462
c.y00_dt#c.concen_a1_dm	0 (omitted)					
c.y98_dt#c.lfare_a1_dm	.198575	.1346018	1.48	0.140	-.0655181	.4626681
c.y99_dt#c.lfare_a1_dm	.0075498	.2000518	0.04	0.970	-.3849584	.400058
c.y00_dt#c.lfare_a1_dm	0 (omitted)					

```

. reg lpassen concen lfare c.ldist c.ldist_dm#c.ldist_dm y98 y99 y00 ///
>      concenbar lfarebar concen_h lfare_h ///
>      c.y98#c.concen_a0_dm c.y99#c.concen_a0_dm c.y00#c.concen_a0_dm ///
>      c.y98#c.lfare_a0_dm c.y99#c.lfare_a0_dm c.y00#c.lfare_a0_dm ///
>      c.y98#c.concen_a1_dm c.y99#c.concen_a1_dm c.y00#c.concen_a1_dm ///
>      c.y98#c.lfare_a1_dm c.y99#c.lfare_a1_dm c.y00#c.lfare_a1_dm, vce(cluster id)

```

Linear regression

Number of obs	=	4,596
F(23, 1148)	=	33.03
Prob > F	=	0.0000
R-squared	=	0.1057
Root MSE	=	.83806

(Std. Err. adjusted for 1,149 clusters in id)

lpassen	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
concen	.0049276	.0953035	0.05	0.959	-.1820609	.1919161
lfare	-1.006965	.0661681	-15.22	0.000	-1.136789	-.877141
ldist	-.01635	.0592676	-0.28	0.783	-.132635	.099935
c.ldist_dm#c.ldist_dm	.121346	.0530347	2.29	0.022	.0172902	.2254018
y98	.0242774	.0112826	2.15	0.032	.0021406	.0464141
y99	.0600772	.0212456	2.83	0.005	.0183926	.1017618
y00	.124816	.0300917	4.15	0.000	.0657752	.1838568

concenbar	-2.458434	.4773988	-5.15	0.000	-3.395106	-1.521762
lfarebar	-.475291	.3082788	-1.54	0.123	-1.080144	.1295621
concen_h	2.055851	.4549934	4.52	0.000	1.163139	2.948563
lfare_h	.962927	.3047852	3.16	0.002	.3649286	1.560925
c.y98#c.concen_a0_dm	-.0040696	.024358	-0.17	0.867	-.0518608	.0437216
c.y99#c.concen_a0_dm	-.026744	.0318316	-0.84	0.401	-.0891988	.0357107
c.y00#c.concen_a0_dm	-.0863407	.0366607	-2.36	0.019	-.1582702	-.0144112
c.y98#c.lfare_a0_dm	-.0423505	.0109446	-3.87	0.000	-.0638241	-.0208769
c.y99#c.lfare_a0_dm	-.0562567	.0141338	-3.98	0.000	-.0839876	-.0285258
c.y00#c.lfare_a0_dm	-.0280564	.0171804	-1.63	0.103	-.061765	.0056521
c.y98#c.concen_a1_dm	-1.814706	.474012	-3.83	0.000	-2.744733	-.8846795
c.y99#c.concen_a1_dm	-3.699879	.9978239	-3.71	0.000	-5.657642	-1.742116
c.y00#c.concen_a1_dm	-5.579338	1.415692	-3.94	0.000	-8.356972	-2.801704
c.y98#c.lfare_a1_dm	-1.028896	.3812075	-2.70	0.007	-1.776838	-.2809546
c.y99#c.lfare_a1_dm	-2.447393	.7684671	-3.18	0.001	-3.955151	-.9396358
c.y00#c.lfare_a1_dm	-3.682415	1.09094	-3.38	0.001	-5.822874	-1.541956
_cons	8.910393	.4332756	20.57	0.000	8.060292	9.760494

7. Extension to Unbalanced Panels

- Unbalanced panels, using the complete cases.
- Use functions of

$$\{(s_{it}, s_{it}\mathbf{x}_{it}) : t = 1, \dots, T\}$$

- Now more care is needed with the aggregate time dummies [Wooldridge (2019)].