



Estimating long-run effects in models with cross-sectional dependence using xtdcce2 Three ways to estimate long run coefficients

Jan Ditzen

Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, UK Center for Energy Economics Research and Policy (CEERP)

October 25, 2018

Introduction

- xtdcce2 on SSC since August 2016
- Described in The Stata Journal article in Vol 18, Number 3, Ditzen (2018).
- Current version 1.33 (as of 22.10.2018).
- Setting: Dynamic panel model with heterogeneous slopes and an unobserved common factor (f_t) and a heterogeneous factor loading (γ_i):

$$y_{i,t} = \lambda_i y_{i,t-1} + \beta_i x_{i,t} + u_{i,t}, \qquad (1)$$
$$u_{i,t} = \gamma'_i f_t + e_{i,t}$$
$$\beta_{MG} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i, \quad \lambda_{MG} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i$$
$$i = 1, ..., N \text{ and } t = 1, ..., T$$

- Aim: consistent estimation of β_i and β_{MG} :
 - Large N, T = 1: Cross Section; $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_i, \forall i$
 - N=1 , Large T: Time Series; $\hat{\beta}_i$
 - ► Large N, Small T: Micro-Panel; $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_{i_2}$ $\forall i$
 - Large N, Large T: Panel Time Series; $\hat{\beta}_i$ and $\hat{\beta}_{MG}$
- If the common factors are left out, they become an omitted variable, leading to the omitted variable bias.

Introduction

- Estimation of most economic models requires heterogeneous coefficients. Examples: growth models (Lee et al., 1997), development economics (McNabb and LeMay-Boucher, 2014), productivity analysis (Eberhardt et al., 2012), consumption models (Shin et al., 1999) ,...
- Vast econometric literature on heterogeneous coefficients models (Zellner, 1962; Pesaran and Smith, 1995; Shin et al., 1999).
- Theoretical literature how to account for unobserved dependencies between cross-sectional units evolved (Pesaran, 2006; Chudik and Pesaran, 2015).

Dynamic Common Correlated Effects I

$$y_{i,t} = \lambda_i y_{i,t-1} + \beta_i x_{i,t} + u_{i,t},$$

$$u_{i,t} = \gamma'_i f_t + e_{i,t}$$
(2)

- Individual fixed effects (α_i) or deterministic time trends can be added, but are omitted in the remainder of the presentation..
- The heterogeneous coefficients are randomly distributed around a common mean, β_i = β + v_i, v_i ~ IID(0,Ω_v) and λ_i = λ + ζ_i, ζ_i ~ IID(0,Ω_ζ).
- f_t is an unobserved common factor and γ_i a heterogeneous factor loading.
- In a static model $\lambda_i = 0$, Pesaran (2006) shows that equation (2) can be consistently estimated by approximating the unobserved common factors with cross section averages \bar{x}_t and \bar{y}_t under strict exogeneity.

Dynamic Common Correlated Effects II

In a dynamic model, the lagged dependent variable is not strictly exogenous and therefore the estimator becomes inconsistent. Chudik and Pesaran (2015) show that the estimator gains consistency if the floor of p_T = [³√T] lags of the cross-sectional averages are added.
 Estimated Equation:

$$y_{i,t} = \lambda_i y_{i,t-1} + \beta_i x_{i,t} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_T} \gamma'_{i,l} \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{t-l} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$
$$\overline{\mathbf{z}}_t = (\overline{y}_t, \overline{x}_t)$$

• The Mean Group Estimates are: $\hat{\pi}_{MG} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\pi}_i$ with $\hat{\pi}_i = (\hat{\lambda}_i, \hat{\beta}_i)$ and the asymptotic variance is

$$\widehat{Var}(\hat{\pi}_{MG}) = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\hat{\pi}_{i} - \hat{\pi}_{MG}\right) \left(\hat{\pi}_{i} - \hat{\pi}_{MG}\right)'$$

What is new?

- This is what xtdcce2 can do what is new in version 1.33?
- A more general representation of eq (1) with further lags of the dependent and independent variable in the form of an ARDL(p_y, p_x) model is:

$$y_{i,t} = \sum_{l=1}^{p_y} \lambda_{l,i} y_{i,t-l} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_x} \beta_{l,i} x_{i,t-l} + u_{i,t}.$$
(3)

- where p_y and p_x is the lag length of y and x.
- The long run coefficient of β and the mean group coefficient are:

$$\theta_{i} = \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{p_{x}} \beta_{l,i}}{1 - \sum_{l=1}^{p_{y}} \lambda_{l,i}}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{MG} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_{i}$$
(4)

- xtdcce2, version < 1.33, is not able to estimate the sum of coefficients and their standard errors.
- How to estimate θ_i and $\bar{\theta}_{MG}$?
 - Chudik et al. (2016) propose two methods, the cross-sectionally augmented ARDL (CS-ARDL) and the cross-sectionally augmented distributed lag (CS-DL) estimator.
 - Using an error correction model (ECM).



 If λ_i lies within the unit circle, the general ARDL model in (3) can be re-written as a level equation:

$$y_{i,t} = \theta_i x_{i,t} + \delta_i(L) \Delta x_{i,t} + \tilde{u}_{i,t}$$
(5)

- and L is the lag operator.
- Idea: directly estimate the long run coefficients, by adding differences of the explanatory variables and their lags.

Details

CS-DL

- Lags of the cross-sectional averages are added to account for cross-sectional dependence.
- Together with the lags, equation (5) can be written as:

$$y_{i,t} = \theta_i x_{i,t} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_x - 1} \delta_{i,l} \Delta x_{i,t-l} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_{\bar{y}}} \gamma_{y,i,l} \bar{y}_{i,t-l} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_{\bar{x}}} \gamma_{x,i,l} \bar{x}_{i,t-l} + e_{i,t}$$

- where $p_{\bar{y}}$ and $p_{\bar{x}}$ is the number of lags of the cross-sectional averages.
- The mean group estimates are then

$$\hat{eta}_{MG} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{ heta}_i$$

• The variance/covariance matrix for the mean group coefficients is the same as for the "normal" (D)CCE estimator.

CS-ARDL

- Idea: Estimate the short run coefficients first and then calculate the long run coefficients.
- Equation (3) is extended by cross-sectional averages

$$y_{i,t} = \sum_{l=1}^{p_y} \lambda_{l,i} y_{i,t-l} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_x} \beta_{l,i} x_{i,t-l} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_T} \gamma'_{i,l} \bar{\mathbf{z}}_{t-l} + e_{i,t}.$$

- with $\bar{z}_{t-l} = (\bar{y}_{i,t-l}, \bar{x}_{i,t-l})$
- and the long run coefficients and the mean group estimates are

$$\hat{\theta}_{CS-ARDL,i} = \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{p_x} \hat{\beta}_{l,i}}{1 - \sum_{l=1}^{p_y} \hat{\lambda}_{l,i}}, \quad \hat{\theta}_{MG} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\theta}_i$$

- The variance/covariance matrix for the mean group coefficients is the same as for the "normal" (D)CCE estimator.
- For the calculation of the variance/covariance matrix of the individual long run coefficients θ_i, the delta method is used. Delta Method

Jan Ditzen (Heriot-Watt University)

xtdcce2

Error Correction Model

• Equation (3) can be transformed into an ECM¹:

$$\Delta y_{i,t} = \phi_i \left[y_{i,t-1} - \theta_i x_{i,t} \right] \\ - \sum_{l=1}^{p_y - 1} \lambda_{l,i} \Delta_l y_{i,t-1} - \sum_{l=1}^{p_x} \beta_{l,i} \Delta_l x_{i,t} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_T} \gamma_{i,l} \bar{z}_{i,t} + u_{i,t}$$

• where $\Delta_I =_t -_{t-1}$, for example $\Delta_3 x_{i,t} = x_{i,t} - x_{i,t-3}$ and

$$\hat{\phi}_i = -\left(1 - \sum_{l=1}^{p_{\mathcal{Y}}} \hat{\lambda}_{l,i}\right), \quad \hat{\theta}_i = \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{p_{\mathcal{X}}} \hat{\beta}_{l,i}}{\hat{\phi}_i} \text{ and } \hat{\bar{\theta}}_{MG} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\theta}_i$$

 For the calculation of the variance/covariance matrix of the individual long run coefficients θ_i, the delta method is used. Delta Method

 1 This function was already available in xtdcce2 < 1.33.

Jan Ditzen (Heriot-Watt University)

xtdcce2 General Syntax

Syntax:

xtdcce2 depvar [indepvars] [varlist2 = varlist_iv] [if] crosssectional(varlist_cr) [, nocrosssectional pooled(varlist_p) cr_lags(#) ivreg2options(string) e_ivreg2 ivslow lr(varlist_lr) lr_options(string) pooledconstant noconstant reportconstant trend pooledtrend jackknife recursive noomitted nocd fullsample showindividual fast]

More Details Stored in e() Bias Correction

xtdcce2 General Syntax

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \sum_{l=1}^{p_y} \lambda_{l,i} y_{i,t-l} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_x} \beta_{l,i} x_{i,t-l} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_{\bar{y}}} \gamma_{y,i,l} \bar{y}_{t-l} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_{\bar{x}}} \gamma_{x,i,l} \bar{x}_{t-l} + e_{i,t}$$

- <u>cr</u>osssectional(*varlist*) specifies cross sectional means, i.e. variables in \bar{z}_t . These variables are partialled out.
- cr_lags(#) defines number of lags (p_T) of the cross sectional averages. The number of lags can be variable specific. The same order as in cr() applies, hence if cr(y x), then cr_lags(p_y p_x).
- pooled(varlist) constraints coefficients to be homogeneous $(\beta_i = \beta, \forall i \in N).$
- <u>report</u>onstant reports constant and <u>pooledconstant</u> pools it.

CS-DL

• Assume an ARDL(1,2) and $p_T = (p_{\bar{y}}, p_{\bar{x}}) = (0,2)$ such as:

$$y_{i,t} = \lambda_i y_{i,t-1} + \beta_{0,i} x_{i,t} + \beta_{1,i} x_{i,t-1} + \beta_{2,i} x_{i,t-2} + \gamma_{y,i} \bar{y}_t + \sum_{l=0}^{2} \gamma_{x,i,l} \bar{x}_{t-l} + e_{i,t}$$

• To estimate the model directly using the CS-DL estimator the following auxiliary regression is needed

$$y_{i,t} = \theta_i x_{i,t} + \delta_{0,i} \Delta x_{i,t} + \delta_{1,i} \Delta x_{i,t-1} + \gamma_{y,i} \bar{y}_t + \sum_{l=0}^2 \gamma_{x,i,l} \bar{x}_{t-l} + \epsilon_{i,t}$$
(6)

- To estimate it in xtdcce2 the command line would be: xtdcce2 y x d.x d2.x , cr(y x) cr_lags(0 2)
 No specific commands for the long run estimation are required
- No specific commands for the long run estimation are required.

xtdcce2 CS-DL Example

• Chudik et al. (2013) estimate the long run effect of public debt on output growth with the following equation:

$$\Delta y_{i,t} = c_i + \theta'_i \mathbf{x}_{i,t} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_x-1} \beta_{i,l} \Delta \mathbf{x}_{i,t-l} + \gamma_{y,i} \Delta \bar{y}_t + \sum_{l=0}^3 \gamma_{x,i,l} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{i,t-l} e_{i,t}$$

- where y_{i,t} is the log of real GDP, x_{i,t} = (Δd_{i,t}, π_{i,t})', d_{i,t} is log of debt to GDP ratio and π is the inflation rate.
- The results from Chudik et al. (2013, Table 18) with 1 lag of the explanatory variables ($p_x = 1$) in the form of an ARDL(1,1,1) and three lags of the cross sectional averages are estimated with: xtdcce2133 d.y dp d.gd d.(dp d.gd) , cr(d.y dp d.gd) cr_lags(0 3 3) fullsample

CS-DL Example

. xtdcce2133 d.y */ fullsample (Dynamic) Common			•			/*
Panel Variable (Time Variable (†				Number (of obs = of groups =	1601 40
Degrees of freed without cross-sect with cross-sect	lom per group sectional ave	erages = 3	35.025 26.025		group (T) =	40
Number of		-		F(560,	1041) =	0.90
cross-sectional	llags	0 1	to 3	Prob > 1	7 =	0.93
variables in me	ean group reg	gression = 3	160	R-square	ed =	0.33
variables parti	alled out		400	Adj. R-	squared =	-0.04
-				Root MS	Ξ ⁻ =	0.03
				CD Stat:	istic =	1.11
				p-va	Lue =	0.2667
D.y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Mean Group:						
dp	0889337	.0256445	-3.47	0.001	1391959	0386715
D.gd	0865123	.0143	-6.05	0.000	1145398	0584849
D.dp	.0053277	.0413627	0.13	0.898	0757417	.0863971
D2.gd	.0068065	.0148306	0.46	0.646	022261	.0358739

Mean Group Variables: dp D.gd D.dp D2.gd Cross Sectional Averaged Variables: D.y(0) dp(3) D.gd(3) Heterogenous constant partialled out.

• The long run coefficients are $\hat{\theta}_{\pi,MG} = -0.0889$ and $\hat{\theta}_{\Delta d,MG} = -0.0865$.

CS-DL Example

• And as an ARDL(1,3,3):

. xtdcce2133 d.j (Dynamic) Common						(0 3 3) fullsample
Panel Variable	(i): ccode			Number o	f obs =	1571
Time Variable (t	:): year			Number o	f groups =	40
Degrees of freed without cross-sect with cross-sect	sectional av	erages = :	30.275 21.275	Obs per	group (T) =	39
Number of		-		F(720, 8	51) =	1.12
cross-sectional		-	to 3	Prob > F	=	0.06
variables in me				R-square	d =	0.49
variables parti	ialled out	= -	100	Adj. R-s	quared =	0.05
				Root MSE	=	0.03
				CD Stati	stic =	0.73
				p-val	ue =	0.4680
D.y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Mean Group:						
- dp	0855842	.0400845	-2.14	0.033	1641483	00702
D.gd	0816583	.0196252	-4.16	0.000	1201231	0431936
D.dp	.0183584	.0478696	0.38	0.701	0754643	.112181
LD.dp	.0015586	.0373619	0.04	0.967	0716695	.0747866
L2D.dp	.0034012	.0294771	0.12	0.908	0543729	.0611752
D2.gd	.0045224	.0144741	0.31	0.755	0238463	.0328912
LD2.gd			0.00	0.005	0000005	.0134045
	0129675	.0134553	-0.96	0.335	0393395	.0134045

Mean Group Variables: dp D.gd D.dp LD.dp L2D.dp D2.gd LD2.gd L2D2.gd Cross Sectional Averaged Variables: D.y(0) dp(3) D.gd(3) Heterogenous constant partialled out.

CS-ARDL

• Assume an ARDL(1,2) and $p_T = (p_{\bar{y}}, p_{\bar{x}}) = (2,2)$ such as:

$$y_{i,t} = \lambda_i y_{i,t-1} + \beta_{0,i} x_{i,t} + \beta_{1,i} x_{i,t-1} + \beta_{2,i} x_{i,t-2} + \sum_{l=0}^{2} \gamma_{y,i,l} \bar{y}_t + \sum_{l=0}^{2} \gamma_{x,i,l} \bar{x}_{t-l} + e_{i,t}$$

• The model is directly estimated and then the long run coefficients are calculated as:

$$\hat{\theta}_{CS-ARDL,i} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_{0,i} + \hat{\beta}_{1,i} + \hat{\beta}_{2,i}}{1 - \hat{\lambda}_i}$$

- Using xtdcce2 the command line is: xtdcce2 y , lr(L.y x L.x L2.x) lr_options(ardl) cr(y x) cr_lags(2)
- lr() defines the long run variables.
- xtdcce2 automatically detects the variables and their lags if time series operators are used. Alternatively variables can be enclosed in parenthesis, for example lr(L.y (x lx l2x)), with lx = L.x and l2x = L2.x.

CS-ARDL Example - ARDL(1,1,1) from Chudik et al. (2013, Table 17).

. xtdcce2133 d.y , lr(L.d.y L.dp dp L.d.gd d.gd) lr_options(ardl) cr(d.y dp d.gd) cr_lags(3) fullsample (Dynamic) Common Correlated Effects Estimator - (CS-ARDL)

Panel Variable (Time Variable (t		Number o Number o	of obs = of groups =	1599 40		
Degrees of freed without cross-s with cross-sect	erages =	33.975 21.975	Obs per	group (T) =	40	
Number of		-		F(720, 8	(79) =	0.79
cross-sectional	lags	=	3	Prob > F		1.00
variables in me	an group re	gression =	200	R-square	-d =	0.39
variables parti	alled out	=	520	Adj. R-s	quared =	-0.11
				Root MSE	=	0.03
				CD Stati	stic =	0.57
				p-val	ue =	0.5690
D.y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Short Run Est.						
Mean Group:						
LD.y	.0475615	.0393516	1.21	0.227	0295662	.1246891
dp	1036032	.0402887	-2.57	0.010	1825676	0246389
D.gd	0745686	.0122305	-6.10	0.000	0985399	0505974
L.dp	019946	.0462871	-0.43	0.667	1106671	.070775
LD.gd	0132481	.0156115	-0.85	0.396	0438461	.0173498
Long Run Est.						
Mean Group:						
lr_dp	1639757	.0378599	-4.33	0.000	2381797	0897717
lr_gd	0873993	.0164431	-5.32	0.000	1196272	0551713
lr_y	9524385	.0393516	-24.20	0.000	-1.029566	8753109

Cross Sectional Averaged Variables: D.y dp D.gd Long Rum Variables: lr_dp lr_gd lr_y Cointegration variable(s): lr_y Heterogenous constant partialled out.

CS-ARDL Example - ARDL(3,3,3) from Chudik et al. (2013, Table 17).

. xtdcce2133 d.y , lr(L(1/3).(d.y) (L(0/3).dp) (L(0/3).d.gd)) lr_options(ardl) cr(d.y dp d.gd) cr_lags(3) fullsample (Dynamic) Common Correlated Effects Estimator - (CS-ARDL)

(-)					-/	
Panel Variable (Number o		1562
Time Variable (t	:): year			Number o	of groups =	40
Degrees of freed without cross-s with cross-sect	sectional av	erages = 1	27.05	Obs per	group (T) =	39
Number of		5		F(960, 6	502) =	0.96
cross-sectional	lags	= 3	3	Prob > H		0.71
variables in me	an group re	gression = 4	140	R-square	ed =	0.61
variables parti		= !		Adj. R-s	squared =	-0.03
				Root MSH	2 =	0.02
				CD Stati	istic =	-0.51
				p-val	lue =	0.6108
D.y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
Short Run Est.						
Mean Group:						
LD.y	.0123738	.0349377	0.35	0.723	0561029	.0808506
L2D.y	1395645	.0948427	-1.47	0.141	3254529	.0463238
L3D.y	082903	.1072901	-0.77	0.440	2931877	.1273817
dp	070708	.0503039	-1.41	0.160	1693018	
D.gd	085307	.0137595	-6.20	0.000	1122752	0583388
L.dp	0312712	.0513435	-0.61	0.542	1319025	
L2.dp	.0982105	.1017365	0.97	0.334	1011893	
L3.dp	0424631	.0581692	-0.73	0.465	1564726	
	0270311	.0204753	-1.32	0.187	0671619	
	0114103	.012726	-0.90	0.370	0363528	
L3D.gd	.0283551	.0177666	1.60	0.110	0064667	.0631769
Long Run Est.						
Mean Group:						
1.1.1	0795245	.0586992	-1.35	0.175	1945727	.0355238
lr_dp						
lr_dp lr_gd	1198362 -1.210094	.0402251	-2.98	0.003	198676	0409965

Cross Sectional Averaged Variables: D.y dp D.gd Long Run Variables: lr_dp lr_gd lr_y Cointegration variable(s): lr_y Heterogenous constant partialled out.

ECM

• xtdcce2 (also pre 1.33) can estimate a simple ECM, for example an ARDL(1,1) model, such as:

n-

$$\Delta y_{i,t} = \phi_i \left[y_{i,t-1} - \theta_i x_{i,t-1} \right] - \beta_i \Delta x_{i,t} + \sum_{l=0}^{P_l} \gamma_{i,l} \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{i,t} + u_{i,t}$$

• Internally the following estimation is run:

$$\Delta y_{i,t} = \phi_i y_{i,t-1} + \varphi_i x_{i,t-1} + \omega_i \Delta x_{i,t} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_T} \gamma_{i,l} \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{i,t} + u_{i,t}$$

- Then the estimate of the long run coefficient is calculated as $\hat{\theta}_i = -\frac{\hat{\varphi}_i}{\hat{\phi}_i}$.
- The variance-covariance matrix is calculated using the delta method.

ECM - ARDL(1,1,1)

<pre>. xtdcce2133 d.c */ cr_lags(3) fu (Dynamic) Common</pre>	illsample			d.gd) cr(d.y - Mean Group	dp d.gd)	/*
Panel Variable (Time Variable (†				Number of c Number of g		1599 40
Degrees of freed without cross-sect with cross-sect	sectional av	erages =	33.975 21.975	Obs per gro	oup (T) =	40
Number of				F(720, 879)	=	2.84
cross-sectional	lags	=	3	Prob > F	=	0.00
variables in me	an group re	gression =	200	R-squared	=	0.70
variables parti	alled out	=	520	Adj. R-squa	red =	0.45
				Root MSE	=	0.03
				CD Statisti	.c =	0.57
				p-value	=	0.5690
D2.y	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z [95% Conf.	Interval]
D2.y Short Run Est.	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z [95% Conf.	Interval]
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z [95% Conf.	Interval]
Short Run Est.	Coef.	Std. Err.	2 0.43		95% Conf.	Interval] .1106679
Short Run Est. Mean Group:				0.667 -		
Short Run Est. Mean Group: D.dp	.0199465	.0462873	0.43	0.667 -	.0707749	.1106679
Short Run Est. Mean Group: D.dp D2.gd	.0199465	.0462873	0.43	0.667 -	.0707749	.1106679
Short Run Est. Mean Group: D.dp D2.gd Long Run Est.	.0199465	.0462873	0.43 0.85	0.667 - 0.396 -	.0707749	.1106679 .0438463
Short Run Est. Mean Group: D.dp D2.gd Long Run Est. Mean Group:	.0199465 .0132482	.0462873 .0156115	0.43 0.85	0.667 - 0.396 -	.0707749	.1106679 .0438463
Short Run Est. Mean Group: D.dp D2.gd Long Run Est. Mean Group: LD.y	.0199465 .0132482	.0462873 .0156115 .0393514	0.43 0.85 -24.20 -4.33	0.667 - 0.396 - 0.000 - 0.000 -	.0707749 .0173498 1.029566	.1106679 .0438463 8753112

Mean Group Variables: D.dp D2.gd Cross Sectional Averaged Variables: D.y dp D.gd Long Rum Variables: LD.y dp D.gd Cointegration variable(s): LD.y Heterogenous constant partialled out.

ECM - ARDL(2,2,2)

. xtdcce2133 d. */ cr_lags(3) fr (Dynamic) Common	ullsample					cr(d.	y dp d.gd)
Panel Variable Time Variable (Number o Number o		= ps =	1576 40
Degrees of free without cross- with cross-sec	sectional av	erages =	29.4 17.4	Obs per	group	(T) =	39
Number of		0		F(880, 6	596)	=	2.70
cross-sectional	l lags	=	3	Prob > H		-	0.00
variables in m	ean group re	gression =	360	R-square	ed	=	0.77
variables part:			520	Adj. R-s		=	0.49
-				Root MSH	2 [°]	-	0.03
				CD Stati	istic	-	-0.30
				p-val	Lue	=	0.7653
D2.y	Coef.	Std. Err.	. z	P> z	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
Short Run Est.							
Mean Group:							
D.dp	.0865667	.0873178	0.99	0.321	084	15731	.2577065
D2.dp	0335994	.0412793	-0.81	0.416	114	15054	.0473065
D2.gd	.0269077	.0265396	1.01	0.311	02	51089	.0789244
D3.gd	.0016363	.0112576	0.15	0.884	020	04282	.0237007
LD2.y	.0711921	.1122562	0.63	0.526	148	38259	.2912102
LD3.y	.0098131	.0485676	0.20	0.840	08	53776	.1050039
Long Run Est.							
Mean Group:							
LD.y		.0905837		0.000		57766	9026845
	-1.080225 -1.029103 4282529	.0905837	-1.17	0.000 0.240 0.397	-2.74	57766 16435 20048	9026845 .6882298

Mean Group Variables: D.dp D2.dp D2.gd D3.gd LD2.y LD3.y Cross Sectional Averaged Variables: D.y dp D.gd Long Run Variables: LD.y dp D.gd Cointegration variable(s): LD.y Heterogenous constant partialled out.

Conclusion

xtdcce2...

- introduced a routine to estimate a panel model with heterogeneous slopes and dependence across cross-sectional untis by using the dynamic common correlated effects estimator.
- supports estimation of long run coefficients using three different models, using the
 - CS-DL estimator direct estimation of the long run coefficients
 - CS-ARDL estimator calculation of long run coefficients out of short run coefficients
 - ▶ an ECM approach
- is available on SSC (current version 1.33).
- Further developments:
 - two-step ECM.
 - Alternative calculation of standard errors for individual and mean group long run coefficients.

The Delta Method

- Allows calculation of an approximate probability distribution for a matrix function a(β) based on a random vector with a known variance.
- Assume $\beta_i \rightarrow_p \beta$ and $\sqrt{n}(\beta_i \beta) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma)$ and first derivate of $a(\beta)$:

$${\sf A}(eta)\equiv rac{\partial {\sf a}(eta)}{\partial eta'}$$

• then the distribution of the function *a*() is

$$\sqrt{n} \left[a(\beta_i) - a(\beta) \right] \rightarrow_d N \left(0, A(\beta) \Sigma A(\beta)' \right).$$

The Delta Method I

• Assume an ARDL(2,1) model with the following long run coefficients:

$$y_{i,t} = \alpha_i + \lambda_{1,i} y_{i,t-1} + \lambda_{2,i} y_{i,t-2} + \beta_{0,i} x_{i,t} + \beta_{1,i} x_{i,t-1} + e_{i,t}$$

$$\phi_i = -(1 - \lambda_{1,i} - \lambda_{2,i})$$

$$\theta_{1,i} = \frac{\beta_{0,i} + \beta_{1,i}}{1 - \lambda_{1,i} - \lambda_{2,i}}$$

- Stack the short run coefficients into $\pi_i = (\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i}, \beta_{0,i}, \beta_{1,i})$
- The vector function a(π_i) maps the short run coefficients into a vector of the short run and long run coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}(\pi_i) &= (\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i}, \beta_{0,i}, \beta_{1,i}, \phi_i, \theta_{1,i}), \text{ where } \phi_i = -1 + \lambda_{1,i} + \lambda_{2,i} \text{ and} \\ \theta_{1,i} &= \frac{\beta_{0,i} + \beta_{1,i}}{1 - \lambda_{1,i} - \lambda_{2,i}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Delta Method II

• The covariance matrix is:

$$\Sigma_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} Var(\lambda_{1,i}) & Cov(\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i}) & Cov(\lambda_{1,i}, \beta_{0,i}) & Cov(\lambda_{1,i}, \beta_{1,i}) \\ & \ddots \\ & & \ddots \\ & & Var(\beta_{1,i}) \end{pmatrix}$$

• The first derivative of $a(\pi_i)$ is:

The Delta Method III

 $\mathcal{A}(\pi_{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial\lambda_{1,i}}{\partial\lambda_{1,i}} & \frac{\partial\lambda_{1,i}}{\partial\lambda_{2,1}} & \frac{\partial\lambda_{1,i}}{\partial\beta_{0,i}} & \frac{\partial\lambda_{1,i}}{\partial\beta_{1,i}} \\ \frac{\partial\lambda_{2,i}}{\partial\lambda_{1,i}} & \frac{\partial\lambda_{2,i}}{\partial\lambda_{2,i}} & \frac{\partial\lambda_{2,i}}{\partial\beta_{0,i}} & \frac{\partial\lambda_{2,i}}{\partial\beta_{1,i}} \\ \frac{\partial\beta_{0,i}}{\partial\lambda_{1,i}} & \frac{\partial\beta_{0,i}}{\partial\lambda_{2,i}} & \frac{\partial\beta_{0,i}}{\partial\beta_{0,i}} & \frac{\partial\beta_{0,i}}{\partial\beta_{1,i}} \\ \frac{\partial\beta_{1,i}}{\partial\lambda_{1,i}} & \frac{\partial\beta_{1,i}}{\partial\lambda_{2,i}} & \frac{\partial\beta_{1,i}}{\partial\beta_{0,i}} & \frac{\partial\beta_{1,i}}{\partial\beta_{1,i}} \\ \frac{\partial\phi_{i}}{\partial\lambda_{1,i}} & \frac{\partial\phi_{i}}{\partial\lambda_{2,i}} & \frac{\partial\phi_{i}}{\partial\beta_{0,i}} & \frac{\partial\phi_{i}}{\partial\beta_{1,i}} \\ \frac{\partial\theta_{1,i}}{\partial\lambda_{1,i}} & \frac{\partial\theta_{1,i}}{\partial\lambda_{2,i}} & \frac{\partial\theta_{1,i}}{\partial\beta_{0,i}} & \frac{\partial\theta_{1,i}}{\partial\beta_{1,i}} \end{pmatrix}$

The Delta Method IV

with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \lambda_{1,i}} &= \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial \lambda_{2,i}} = 1\\ \frac{\partial \theta_{1,i}}{\partial \beta_{0,i}} &= \frac{\partial \theta_{1,i}}{\partial \beta_{1,i}} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_{1,i} - \lambda_{2,i}}\\ \frac{\partial \theta_{1,i}}{\partial \lambda_{1,i}} &= \frac{\partial \theta_{1,i}}{\partial \lambda_{2,i}} = \frac{\beta_{0,i} + \beta_{1,i}}{(1 - \lambda_{1,i} - \lambda_{2,i})^2} \end{aligned}$$

• Then $A(\pi_i)$ becomes:

The Delta Method V

▶ back

$$A(\pi_i) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 & 0 \ rac{eta_{0,i}+eta_{1,i}}{\left(1-\lambda_{1,i}-\lambda_{2,i}
ight)^2} & rac{eta_{0,i}+eta_{1,i}}{\left(1-\lambda_{1,i}-\lambda_{2,i}
ight)^2} & rac{1}{1-\lambda_{1,i}-\lambda_{2,i}} & rac{1}{1-\lambda_{1,i}-\lambda_{2,i}} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Then the covariance matrix including the long run coefficients is

$$\Sigma_i^{lr} = A(\pi_i)\Sigma_i A(\pi_i)'$$

pmg-Options

- lr(varlist) defines the variables in the long run relationship.
- xtdcce2 estimates internally

$$\Delta y_{i,t} = \phi_i y_{i,t-1} + \gamma_i x_{i,t-1} - \beta_i \Delta x_{i,t} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_T} \gamma_{i,l} \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{i,t} + u_{i,t}$$
(7)

while xtpmg (with common factors) is based on:

$$\Delta y_{i,t} = \phi_i \left[y_{i,t-1} - \theta_i x_{i,t-1} \right] - \beta_i \Delta x_{i,t} + \sum_{l=0}^{p_T} \gamma_{i,l} \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{i,t} + u_{i,t}$$

- where $\theta_i = -\frac{\gamma_i}{\phi_i}$. θ_i is calculated and the variances calculated using the Delta method.
- olr_option(string)
 - nodivide, coefficients are not divided by the error correction speed of adjustment vector (i.e. estimate (7)).
 - xtpmgnames, coefficients names in e(b_p_mg) and e(V_p_mg) match the name convention from xtpmg.

Jan Ditzen (Heriot-Watt University)

Test for cross sectional dependence

- xtdcce2 package includes the xtcd2 command, which tests for cross sectional dependence (Pesaran, 2015).
- Under the null hypothesis, the error terms are weakly cross sectional dependent.

$$H_{0}: E(u_{i,t}u_{j,t}) = 0, \forall t \text{ and } i \neq j.$$

$$CD = \sqrt{\frac{2T}{N(N-1)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \hat{\rho}_{ij} \right)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_{ij} = \hat{\rho}_{ji} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{u}_{i,t} \hat{u}_{jt}}{\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{u}_{it}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{u}_{jt}^{2}\right)^{1/2}}.$$

• Under the null the CD test statistic is asymptotically $CD \sim N(0, 1)$.

Saved values • back

Scalars			
e(N)	number of observations	e(N_g)	number of groups
e(T)	number of time periods	e(K_mg)	number of regressors
e(N_partial)	number of variables partialled out	e(N_omitted)	number of omitted variables
e(N_pooled)	number of pooled variables	e(mss)	model sum of square
e(rss)	residual sum of squares	e(F)	F statistic
e(11)	log-likelihood (only IV)	e(rmse)	root mean squared error
e(df_m)	model degrees of freedom	e(df_r)	residual degree of freedom
e(r2)	<i>R</i> -squared	e(r2_a)	R-squared adjusted
e(cd)	CD test statistic	e(cdp)	p-value of CD test statistic
e(cr_lags)	number of lags of cross sectional averages		
Scalars	(unbalanced panel)		
e(Tmin)	minimum time	e(Tmax)	maximum time
e(Tbar)	average time		
Macros			
e(tvar)	name of time variable	e(idvar)	name of unit variable
e(depvar)	name of dependent variable	e(indepvar)	name of independent variables
e(omitted)	name of omitted variables	e(lr)	long run variables
e(pooled)	name of pooled variables	e(cmd)	command line
e(cmdline)	command line including options	e(version)	xtdcce2 version, if xtdcce2, version used
e(insts)	instruments (exogenous) variables	e(instd)	instrumented (endogenous) variables
Matrices			
e(b)	coefficient vector	e(V)	variance-covariance matrix
	(mean group or individual)		(mean group or individual)
e(bi)	coefficient vector	e(Vi)	variance-covariance matrix
	(individual and pooled)		(individual and pooled)
Functions			
e(sample)	marks estimation sample		

Options

- pooled(varlist) specifies homogeneous coefficients. For these variables the estimated coefficients are constrained to be equal across all units (β_i = β ∀ i). Variable may occur in *indepvars*. Variables in exogenous_vars(), endogenous_vars() and lr() may be pooled as well.
- crosssectional(varlist) defines the variables which are included in z_t and added as cross sectional averages (\bar{z}_{t-l}) to the equation. Variables in crosssectional() may be included in pooled(), exogenous_vars(), endogenous_vars() and lr(). Variables in crosssectional() are partialled out, the coefficients not estimated and reported. crosssectional(_all) adds adds all variables as cross sectional averages. No cross sectional averages are added if crosssectional(_none) is used, which is equivalent to nocrosssectional. crosssectional() is a required option but can be substituted by nocrosssectional.

Options I

- cr_lags(#) specifies the number of lags of the cross sectional averages. If not defined but crosssectional() contains varlist, then only contemporaneous cross sectional averages are added, but no lags. cr_lags(0) is equivalent to. The number of lags can be different for different variables, following the order defined in cr().
- nocrosssectional prevents adding cross sectional averages. Results will be equivalent to the Pesaran and Smith (1995) Mean Group estimator, or if lr(varlist) specified to the Shin et al. (1999) Pooled Mean Group estimator.
- xtdcce2 supports instrumental variable regression using ivreg2. The IV specific options are:
 - ivreg2options passes further options on to ivreg2. See ivreg2, options for more information.
 - fulliv posts all available results from ivreg2 in e() with prefix ivreg2_.

Options II

- noisily shows the output of wrapped ivreg2 regression command.
- ivslow For the calculation of standard errors for pooled coefficients an auxiliary regressions is performed. In case of an IV regression, xtdcce2 runs a simple IV regression for the auxiliary regressions. this is faster. If option is used ivslow, then xtdcce2 calls ivreg2 for the auxiliary regression. This is advisable as soon as ivreg2 specific options are used.
- xtdcce2 is able to estimate long run coefficients. Three models are supported, an error correction model, the CS-DL and CS-ARDL method. No options for the CS-DL method are necessary.
 - Ir (varlist): Variables to be included in the long-run cointegration vector. The first variable(s) is/are the error-correction speed of adjustment term. The default is to use the pmg model. In this case each estimated coefficient is divided by the negative of the long-run cointegration vector (the first variable). If the option ardl is used, then the long run coefficients are estimated as the sum over the coefficients relating to a variable, divided by the sum of the coefficients of the dependent variable.

Options III

- ▶ back
- Ir_options(string) Options for the long run coefficients. Options
 may be:
 - ★ ardl estimates the CS-ARDL estimator.
 - nodivide, coefficients are not divided by the error correction speed of adjustment vector.
 - * xtpmgnames, coefficients names in e(b_p_mg) and e(V_p_mg) match the name convention from xtpmg.
- noconstant suppress constant term.
- pooledconstant restricts the constant to be the same across all groups $(\beta_{0,i} = \beta_0, \forall i)$.
- reportconstant reports the constant. If not specified the constant is treated as a part of the cross sectional averages.
- trend adds a linear unit specific trend. May not be combined with pooledtrend.
- pooledtrend a linear common trend is added. May not be combined with trend.

Options IV

- jackknife applies the jackknife bias correction for small sample time series bias. May not be combined with recursive.
- <u>recursive</u> applies recursive mean adjustment method to correct for small sample time series bias. May not be combined with jackknife.
- nocd suppresses calculation of CD test statistic.
- nomitted suppress checks for collinearity.
- showindividual reports unit individual estimates in output.
- fast omit calculation of unit specific standard errors.
- fullsample uses entire sample available for calculation of cross sectional averages. Any observations which are lost due to lags will be included calculating the cross sectional averages (but are not included in the estimation itself).

Small Sample Time Series Bias Corrections "half panel" jackknife

$$\hat{\pi}^J_{MG} = 2\hat{\pi}_{MG} - rac{1}{2}\left(\hat{\pi}^a_{MG} + \hat{\pi}^b_{MG}
ight)$$

• where $\hat{\pi}^{a}_{MG}$ is the mean group estimate of the first half $(t = 1, ..., \frac{T}{2})$ of the panel and $\hat{\pi}^{b}_{MG}$ of the second half $(t = \frac{T}{2} + 1, ..., T)$ of the panel.

Recursive mean adjustment

$$\tilde{w}_{i,t} = w_{i,t} - \frac{1}{t-1} \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} w_{i,s}$$
 with $w_{i,t} = (y_{i,t}, X_{i,t}).$

- Partial mean from all variables, except the constant, removed.
- Partial mean is lagged by one period to prevent it from being infuenced by contemporaneous observations.

back

CS-DL details

$$y_{i,t} = \theta_i x_{i,t} + \delta_i(L) \Delta x_{i,t} + \tilde{u}_{i,t}$$

where (see Chudik et al. (2016, p 92))

$$\theta_{i} = \omega_{i}(1), \qquad \omega_{i}(L) = \frac{\beta_{i}(L)}{\lambda_{i}(L)} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \omega_{i,l} L^{l}$$
$$\delta_{i}(L) = -\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=l+1}^{\infty} \omega_{i,s} L^{l}, \qquad \lambda_{i}(L) = 1 - \sum_{l=1}^{p_{y}} \lambda_{i,l} L^{l}$$
$$\beta_{i}(L) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \beta_{i,l} L^{l}, \qquad \tilde{u}_{i,t} = \lambda_{i}(L)^{-1} u_{i,t}$$

▶ back

References I

- CHUDIK, A., K. MOHADDES, M. H. PESARAN, AND M. RAISSI (2013): "Debt, Inflation and Growth: Robust Estimation of Long-Run Effects in Dynamic Panel Data Models," .
- (2016): "Long-Run Effects in Large Heterogeneous Panel Data Models with Cross-Sectionally Correlated Errors," in Essays in Honor of Aman Ullah, 85–135.
- CHUDIK, A. AND M. H. PESARAN (2015): "Common correlated effects estimation of heterogeneous dynamic panel data models with weakly exogenous regressors," Journal of Econometrics, 188, 393–420.
- DITZEN, J. (2018): "Estimating dynamic common-correlated effects in Stata," The Stata Journal, 18, 585 – 617.
- EBERHARDT, M., C. HELMERS, AND H. STRAUSS (2012): "Do Spillovers Matter When Estimating Private Returns to R&D?" <u>Review of Economics and</u> Statistics, 95, 436 – 448.
- LEE, K., M. H. PESARAN, AND R. SMITH (1997): "Growth and Convergence in a Multi-Country Empirical Stochastic Solow Model," <u>Journal of Applied</u> Economics, 12, 357–392.

References II

- MCNABB, K. AND P. LEMAY-BOUCHER (2014): "Tax Structures, Economic Growth and Development," .
- PESARAN, M. H. (2006): "Estimation and inference in large heterogeneous panels with a multifactor error structure," Econometrica, 74, 967–1012.

——— (2015): "Testing Weak Cross-Sectional Dependence in Large Panels," Econometric Reviews, 34, 1089–1117.

- PESARAN, M. H. AND R. SMITH (1995): "Estimating long-run relationships from dynamic heterogeneous panels," Journal of Econometrics, 68, 79–113.
- SHIN, Y., M. H. PESARAN, AND R. P. SMITH (1999): "Pooled Mean Group Estimation of Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels," Journal of the American Statistical Association, 94, 621–634.
- ZELLNER, A. (1962): "An Efficient Method of Estimating Seemingly Unrelated Regressions and Tests for Aggregation Bias," <u>Journal of the American</u> Statistical Association, 57, 348–368.