Yulia Marchenko

Associate Director, Biostatistics StataCorp LP

2011 Nordic and Baltic Stata Users Group Meeting

LOutline

Outline

- **•** Brief overview of MI
- Brief history of MI in Stata
- **New official MI features in Stata 12**
- Multiple imputation using chained equations (MICE)
	- **Overview**
	- Advantages/Disadvantages
	- Incompatibility of conditionals
	- MICE versus MVN
	- **•** Examples
	- **•** Convergence
- Concluding remarks
- **O** References

Brief overview of MI

- Multiple imputation (MI) is a principled, simulation-based approach for analyzing incomplete data
- MI procedure 1) replaces missing values with multiple sets of simulated values to complete the data, 2) applies standard analyses to each completed dataset, and 3) adjusts the obtained parameter estimates for missing-data uncertainty
- The objective of MI is not to predict missing values as close as possible to the true ones but to handle missing data in a way resulting in valid statistical inference (Rubin 1996)
- MI is statistically valid if an imputation model is proper and the primary, completed-data analysis is statistically valid in the absence of missing data (Rubin 1987)

LBrief history of MI in Stata User-written tools

Stata 7

• 2003 (Carlin et al. 2003): tools for analyzing multiply imputed data (mifit, miset, mido, mici, mitestparm, miappend, etc.)

Stata 8

- 2004 (Royston 2004): univariate imputation (uvis) and multivariate imputation using chained equations (mvis), analysis of multiply imputed data (micombine similar to Carlin's mifit)
- 2005 (Royston 2005a, 2005b): ice replaces and extends mvis for imputation using chained equations
- 2007 (Royston 2007): updates for ice with an emphasis on interval censoring
- 2008: mira by Rodrigo Alfaro for analyzing MI data stored in separate files

LBrief history of MI in Stata

User-written tools

Stata 9

- 2008 (Carlin et al. 2008): new framework for managing and analyzing MI data (the mim: prefix replaces micombine, mifit, and other earlier tools for analyzing and manipulating MI data)
- 2009 (Royston 2009, Royston et al. 2009): updates to ice and mim

inorm by John Galati and John Carlin for performing imputation using MVN

LBrief history of MI in Stata **L**Official tools

Stata 11

- 2009: an official suite of commands for creating (mi impute), manipulating (mi merge, mi reshape, etc.), and analyzing (mi estimate) MI data
	- mi provides 4 different styles of storing MI data, MI data verification, and extensive data-management support
	- mi impute provides a number of univariate imputation methods and multivariate imputation using MVN
	- the mi estimate: prefix, similar to mim:, analyzes MI data

Stata 12

• 2011: various additions to mi, including multivariate imputation using chained equations (mi impute chained)

See http://www.stata.com/support/faqs/stat/mi ice.html for comparison of mi with user-written commands ice and mim

Some of the new official MI features in Stata 12

Imputation

- Multivariate imputation using chained equations (mi impute chained)
- Four new univariate imputation methods of mi impute: truncreg, intreg, poisson, and nbreg
- Conditional imputation within mi impute chained and mi impute monotone
- Handling of perfect prediction via the new augment option during imputation of categorical data
- **•** Separate imputation for different groups of the data via the new by() option of mi impute

Some of the new official MI features in Stata 12

Estimation

- \bullet mi estimate, mcerror estimates the amount of simulation error associated with MI results
- New commands mi predict and mi predictnl to compute linear and nonlinear MI predictions
- misstable summarize, generate() creates missing-value indicators for variables containing missing values

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LOverview

- MICE (van Buuren et al. 1999) is an iterative imputation method that imputes multiple variables by using chained equations, a sequence of univariate imputation methods with fully conditional specification (FCS) of prediction equations
- That is, to get one set of imputed values, iterate over $t = 0, 1, \ldots, T$ and impute: $\chi_{1}^{(t+1)}$ $\lambda_1^{(t+1)}$ using $X_2^{(t)}$ $X_2^{(t)}, X_3^{(t)}$ $X_q^{(t)},\ldots,X_q^{(t)}$ $\chi_2^{(t+1)}$ $\chi_2^{(t+1)}$ using $\chi_1^{(t+1)}$ $\chi_1^{(t+1)}, \chi_3^{(t)}$ $X_q^{(t)},\ldots,X_q^{(t)}$ · · · $\chi_{q}^{(t+1)}$ using $\chi_{1}^{(t+1)}$ $X_1^{(t+1)}, X_2^{(t+1)}$ $\chi_2^{(t+1)}, \ldots, \chi_{q-1}^{(t+1)}$

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LOverview

- MICE is also known as FCS and SRMI, sequential regression multivariate imputation (Raghunathan et al. 2001)
- MICE can handle variables of different types
- MICE can handle arbitrary missing-data patterns
- MICE can accommodate certain important characteristics (data ranges, restrictions within a subset) of the observational data
- Being an iterative method, MICE requires checking of convergence
- MICE requires careful modeling of conditional specifications
- See White et al. (2011) for practical guidelines about using MICE

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LAdvantages

- The variable-by-variable specification of MICE makes it easy to build complicated imputation models for multiple variables
- Unlike sequential monotone imputation, MICE does not require monotone missing-data patterns
- MICE accommodates variables of different types by using an imputation method appropriate for each variable
- MICE allows different sets of predictors when imputing different variables
- MICE allows to impute missing values within the observed (or pre-specified) ranges of the data
- MICE can handle imputation of variables defined only on a subset of the data—conditional imputation
- MICE can incorporate functional relationships among variables

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Disadvantages

- MICE lacks formal theoretical justification
- In particular, its theoretical weakness is possible incompatibility of fully conditional specifications for which no proper joint multivariate distribution exists
- The variable-by-variable specification of MICE also makes it easy to build models with incompatible conditionals

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Incompatibility of conditionals

- MICE is similar in spirit to a Gibbs sampler but is not a true Gibbs sampler except in rare cases
- A set of fully conditional specifications may be incompatible, that is, it may not correspond to any proper joint multivariate distribution (e.g., Arnold et al. 2001)
- For example, $X_1|X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\alpha_1+\beta_1 X_2, \sigma_1^2)$ and $X_2|X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\alpha_2+\beta_2 \ln X_1, \sigma_2^2)$ are incompatible
- See, for example, van Buuren (2006, 2007) for the impact of incompatible conditionals on final MI results—only minor impact was found in the examples considered

L-Multiple imputation using chained equations

MICE versus MVN

- MICE uses a sequential (variable-by-variable) approach for imputation; MVN (Schafer 1997) uses a joint modeling approach based on a multivariate normal distribution
- MICE has no theoretical justification (except in some particular cases); MVN does
- MICE can handle variables of different types; MVN is intended for continuous variables and requires normality (Schafer [1997] and Allison [2001] note that MVN can be robust to departures from normality and can sometimes be used to model binary and ordinal variables)
- MICE can incorporate important data characteristics such as ranges and restrictions within a subset of the data; in general, MVN cannot
- In practice, the quality of imputations from either of the methods should be examined

• See, for example, Lee and Carlin (2010) for a recent comparison of MVN and MICE

Yulia Marchenko (StataCorp) November 17, 2011

Sorted by:

• Let's summarize missing values

. misstable summarize, generate(Mis_)

Obs<.

Variable	$Obs=$.	$0bs$.	$Obs<$.	Unique values	Min	Max
age	12		142	142	20.73613	83.78423
bmi	28		126	126	17.22643	38.24214

• and explore missing-data patterns

```
. misstable patterns
  Missing-value patterns
    (1 means complete)
```


LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LExamples: Prepare data for imputation

- Declare the storage style
	- . mi set wide
- **•** Register variables
	- . mi register imputed age bmi
	- . mi register regular attack smokes female hsgrad

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LExample 1: Default prediction equations

• Impute age and bmi using regression imputation

. mi impute chained (regress) age bmi = attack smokes female hsgrad, add(5) rseed(27654) Conditional models:

> age: regress age bmi attack smokes female hsgrad bmi: regress bmi age attack smokes female hsgrad

Performing chained iterations ...

age: linear regression bmi: linear regression

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Example 1: MI diagnostics

• Compare distributions of the imputed, completed, and observed data for age (midiagplots is a forthcoming user-written command; see Marchenko and Eddings (2011) for how to create MI diagnostic plots manually)

```
. midiagplots age, m(1/5) combine
(M = 5 imputations)
(imputed: age bmi)
```
(Continued on next page)

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Example 1: MI diagnostics

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Example 1: MI diagnostics

Compare distributions of the imputed, completed, and observed data for bmi

```
. midiagplots bmi, m(1/5) combine
(M = 5 imputations)
(imputed: age bmi)
```
(Continued on next page)

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Example 1: MI diagnostics

Note: values displayed beneath estimates are Monte Carlo error estimates.

Multiple imputation using chained equations

LExample 2: Different imputation methods

• Impute bmi using predictive mean matching instead

. mi impute chained (regress) age (pmm) bmi = attack smokes female hsgrad, replace Conditional models:

> age: regress age bmi attack smokes female hsgrad bmi: pmm bmi age attack smokes female hsgrad

Performing chained iterations ...

age: linear regression bmi: predictive mean matching

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LExample 3.1: Custom prediction equations (different sets of predictors)

• Omit hsgrad from the prediction equation for bmi

```
. mi impute chained (regress) age ///<br>> (nmm omit(hsgrad)) hmi ///
                         > (pmm, omit(hsgrad)) bmi ///
> = attack smokes female hsgrad, replace
Conditional models:
                   age: regress age bmi attack smokes female hsgrad
                   bmi: pmm bmi age attack smokes female
Performing chained iterations ...
Multivariate imputation \begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Multations} & = & 5 \\
\text{Chained equations} & = & 0\n\end{array}Chained equations \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Chained equations} & \text{added} = & 0 \\ \text{Imputed: m=1 through m=5} & \text{under} & \text{update} \end{array}Imputed: m=1 through m=5Initialization: monotone Iterations = 50<br>
hurn-in = 10
                                                             burn-in =
```
age: linear regression

bmi: predictive mean matching

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LExample 3.1: Custom prediction equations (different sets of predictors)

• Or, include hsgrad in the prediction equation for age

```
. mi impute chained (regress, include(hsgrad)) age ///<br>> 0mm) bmi ///
> (pmm) bmi ///
> = attack smokes female, replace
Conditional models:
                 age: regress age bmi hsgrad attack smokes female
                 bmi: pmm bmi age attack smokes female
Performing chained iterations ...
Multivariate imputation \begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Multations} & = & 5 \\
\text{Chained equations} & = & 0\n\end{array}Chained equations \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Chained equations} & \text{added} = & 0 \\ \text{Imputed: m=1 through m=5} & \text{under} & \text{update} \end{array}Imputed: m=1 through m=5Initialization: monotone Iterations = 50
                                                      burn-in = 10
```
age: linear regression

bmi: predictive mean matching

L Multiple imputation using chained equations

L Example 3.2: Custom prediction equations (functions of imputed variables)

What if relationship between age and bmi is curvilinear?

```
. mi impute chained (regress, include(hsgrad (bmi^2))) age ///<br>> (pmm) bmi ///
> (pmm) bmi ///
> = attack smokes female, replace
Conditional models:
                   age: regress age bmi hsgrad (bmi^2) attack smokes female
                   bmi: pmm bmi age attack smokes female
Performing chained iterations ...
Multivariate imputation \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Multivariate imputation} & \text{Imputation} & = & 5 \\ \text{Chained equations} & \text{incomplete} & \text{infinite} \\ \end{array}Chained equations \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Chained equations} & \text{added} = & 0 \\ \text{Imputed: m=1 through m=5} & \text{under} & \text{update} \end{array}Imputed: m=1 through m=5Initialization: monotone Iterations = 50<br>
hurn-in = 10
                                                             burn-in =
```
age: linear regression

bmi: predictive mean matching

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LExample 4: Variables with a restricted range

What if unobserved values of age are known to lie in [20, 84]?

```
. generate age_l = cond(age==., 20, age). generate age_u = cond(age==., 84, age). mi impute chained (intreg, ll(age_l) ul(age_u) include(hsgrad)) age ///<br>> (pmm)
> (pmm) bmi ///
> = attack smokes female, replace
Conditional models:
             age: intreg age bmi hsgrad attack smokes female , ll(age_l) ul(age_u)
             bmi: pmm bmi age attack smokes female
Performing chained iterations ...
Multivariate imputation \begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{Multations} & = & 5 \\
\text{Chained equations} & = & 0\n\end{array}Chained equations added = 0
Imputed: m=1 through m=5 updated =
Initialization: monotone Iterations = 50<br>
hurn-in = 10
                                          burn-in =
```
age: interval regression

bmi: predictive mean matching

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Example 5: Imputing on subsamples

Impute age and bmi separately for males and females

age: linear regression

bmi: predictive mean matching

 $STATA₁₂$

Yulia Marchenko (StataCorp) November 17, 2011 29 / 45

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Example 6: Conditional imputation

Consider heart attack data containing hightar, an indicator for smoking high-tar cigarettes

Explore missing-data patterns

. mi misstable patterns

Missing-value patterns

(1 means complete)

- 2. bmi(24)
- 3. age(30)

Multiple imputation using chained equations

Example 6: Conditional imputation

• Impute hightar conditionally on smokes; check prediction equations prior to imputation (option dryrun)

```
. mi impute chained ///
> (regress) age ///
> (pmm) bmi ///
> (logit) smokes ///
> (logit, conditional(if smokes==1) omit(i.smokes)) hightar ///
> = attack hsgrad female, dryrun
Conditional models:
           smokes: logit smokes bmi age attack hsgrad female
          hightar: logit hightar bmi age attack hsgrad female,
                    conditional(if smokes==1)
              bmi: pmm bmi i.smokes i.hightar age attack hsgrad female
              age: regress age i.smokes i.hightar bmi attack hsgrad female
```


• Prediction equations are as intended; proceed to imputation

```
. mi impute chained ///
> (regress) age ///
> (pmm) bmi ///
> (logit) smokes ///
> (logit, conditional(if smokes==1) omit(i.smokes)) hightar ///
> = attack hsgrad female, add(5)
Performing chained iterations ...
Multivariate imputation 1999 Multivariate imputations = 5<br>Chained equations 1999 Multiple 1999 Multiple 1999 Multiple 1999 Multiple 1999 Multiple 1999 Multiple 1999 Mult
Chained equations \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Chained equations} & \text{in} & \text{in} \\ \text{Imputed: m=1 through m=5} & \text{in} & \text{in} \\ \end{array}Imputed: m=1 through m=5Initialization: monotone Iterations = 50<br>
hurn-in = 10
                                                            burn-in =Conditional imputation:
  hightar: incomplete out-of-sample obs. replaced with value 0
                  age: linear regression
                  bmi: predictive mean matching
               smokes: logistic regression
              hightar: logistic regression
```


```
Chained equations and more in multiple imputation in Stata 12
```
LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Convergence

- MICE is an iterative method—its convergence needs to be evaluated
- Recall imputation model for age and bmi from example 2 (here we use 3 nearest neighbors with PMM)
- Let's explore the convergence of MICE

```
. webuse mheart8s0
(Fictional heart attack data; bmi and age missing; arbitrary pattern)
. set seed 38762
. mi impute chained (regress) age (pmm, km(3)) bmi = attack smokes female hsgrad,
> chainonly burnin(50) savetrace(impstats)
Conditional models:
               age: regress age bmi attack smokes female hsgrad
               bmi: pmm bmi age attack smokes female hsgrad , knn(3)
Performing chained iterations ...
Note: no imputation performed.
```
LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Convergence

Trace plots of means and standard deviations of imputed values

```
. use impstats
(Summaries of imputed values from -mi impute chained-)
. tsset iter
       time variable: iter, 0 to 50
                delta: 1 unit
. tsline bmi_mean, name(gr1) nodraw yline(25)
. tsline bmi_sd, name(gr2) nodraw yline(4)
. tsline age_mean, name(gr3) nodraw yline(56)
. tsline age_sd, name(gr4) nodraw yline(11.6)
. graph combine gr1 gr2 gr3 gr4, title(Trace plots of summaries of imputed values)
```
> rows(2)

(Continued on next page)

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LConvergence

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

Convergence

- MICE uses separate independent chains to obtain imputations
- Use add() instead of chainonly in combination with savetrace() to save summaries of imputed values from multiple chains

```
. webuse mheart8s0, clear
(Fictional heart attack data; bmi and age missing; arbitrary pattern)
. qui mi impute chain (regress) age (pmm, knn(3)) bmi = attack smokes female hsgrad,
> add(5) burnin(20) savetrace(impstats, replace)
```


LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LConvergence

Trace plots of means and standard deviations of imputed values from multiple chains

--more--

Multiple imputation using chained equations

Convergence

```
. tsset iter
       time variable: iter, 0 to 20
                delta: 1 unit
. tsline bmi_mean*, name(gr1) nodraw legend(off) ytitle(Mean of bmi) yline(25)
. tsline bmi_sd*, name(gr2) nodraw legend(off) ytitle(Std. Dev. of bmi) yline(4)
. tsline age mean*, name(gr3) nodraw legend(off) ytitle(Mean of age) yline(56)
. tsline age sd*, name(gr4) nodraw legend(off) ytitle(Std. Dev. of age) yline(11.6)
. graph combine gr1 gr2 gr3 gr4, title(Trace plots of summaries of imputed values
> from 5 chains) rows(2)
```
(Continued on next page)

LMultiple imputation using chained equations

LConvergence

Trace plots of summaries of imputed values from 5 chains

Concluding remarks

- **•** Stata 12's mi provides multivariate imputation using chained equations, mi impute chained, among other new features
- MICE is a very powerful and flexible imputation tool. Its flexibility, however, must be used with caution.
- MICE has no formal theoretical justification but provides ways of capturing important data characteristics
- MICE is an iterative imputation method so its convergence needs to be evaluated
- As with any imputation method, the quality of imputations needs to be evaluated after MICE
- Careful modeling is required with MICE to avoid incompatible conditionals, although a few simulation studies suggest the impact of incompatible conditionals on final MI inference is minor

Allison, P. D. 2001. Missing Data. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Arnold, B. C., E. Castillo, and J. M. Sarabia. 2001. Conditionally specified distributions: An introduction. Statistical Science 16: 249—274.

Carlin, J. B., J. C. Galati, and P. Royston. 2008. A new framework for managing and analyzing multiply imputed data in Stata. Stata Journal 8: 49—67.

Carlin, J. B., N. Li, P. Greenwood, and C. Coffey. 2003. Tools for analyzing multiple imputed datasets. Stata Journal 3: 226—244.

Lee, K. J., and J. B. Carlin. 2010. Multiple imputation for missing data: Fully conditional specification versus multivariate normal imputation. American Journal of Epidemiology 171: 624—632.

Marchenko, Y. V., and W. D. Eddings. 2011. A note on how to perform multiple-imputation diagnostics in Stata. http://www.stata.com/users/ymarchenko/midiagnote.pdf.

Yulia Marchenko (StataCorp) November 17, 2011 42 / 45

L References

Raghunathan, T. E., J. M. Lepkowski, J. Van Hoewyk, and P. Solenberger. 2001. A multivariate technique for multiply imputing missing values using a sequence of regression models. Survey Methodology 27: 85—95.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. Stata Journal 4: 227—241.

Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. Stata Journal 5: 188—201.

Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of ice. Stata Journal 5: 527—536.

Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on interval censoring. Stata Journal 7: 445—464.

Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on categorical variables. Stata Journal 9: 466—477.

Royston, P., J. B. Carlin, and I. R. White. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: New features for mim. Stata Journal 9: 252—264.

Rubin, D. B. 1987. Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. New York: Wiley.

Rubin, D. B. 1996. Multiple imputation after 18+ years. Journal of the American Statistical Association 91: 473—489.

Schafer, J. L. 1997. Analysis of Incomplete Multivariate Data. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.

L References

van Buuren, S. 2007. Multiple imputation of discrete and continuous data by fully conditional specification. Statistical Methods in Medical Research 16: 219—242.

van Buuren, S., H. C. Boshuizen, and D. L. Knook. 1999. Multiple imputation of missing blood pressure covariates in survival analysis. Statistics in Medicine 18: 681—694.

van Buuren, S., J. P. L. Brand, C. G. M. Groothuis-Oudshoorn, and D. B. Rubin. 2006. Fully conditional specification in multivariate imputation. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation 76: 1049—1064.

White, I. R., P. Royston, and A. M. Wood. 2011. Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues and guidance for practice. Statistics in Medicine 30: 377—399.

