Cointegrating VAR and Probability Forecasting: An applicacion for small open economies

Gustavo Sanchez¹ Harold Zavarce²

¹Senior Statistician StataCorp LP

²Senior Economist International Monetary Fund

Barcelona, Spain

Outline

Outline

- Why Cointegrating VAR models
- Why Probability Forecasting
- VEC and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Theoretical comments
 - Case study: Uruguay
- Probability Forecasting
 - Some theoretical comments
 - Case study: Uruguay

Outline

Outline

- Why Cointegrating VAR models
- Why Probability Forecasting
- VEC and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Theoretical comments
 - Case study: Uruguay
- Probability Forecasting
 - Some theoretical comments
 - Case study: Uruguay

└── Outline

Outline

- Why Cointegrating VAR models
- Why Probability Forecasting
- VEC and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Theoretical comments
 - Case study: Uruguay
- Probability Forecasting
 - Some theoretical comments
 - Case study: Uruguay

Why Cointegrating VAR

• -vec- command: The default (Johansen normalization)



Ohansen normalization restrictions imposed

Coef.	Std. Err.			[95% Conf	. Interval]
	(omitted) .0468879				
	Coef. 1 0 863381 .034525 8.67e-19 1 9670451 1447284	Coef. Std. Err. 1 . 0 (omitted) 863381 .0468879 .034525 . 8.67e-19 . 1 . 9670451 .0045147 1447284 .	Coef. Std. Err. z 1 . . 0 (omitted) -18.41 863381 .0468879 -18.41 .034525 . . 8.67e-19 . . 1 . . 9670451 .0045147 -214.20	Coef. Std. Err. z P> z 1 . . . 0 (omitted) . . 863381 .0468879 -18.41 0.000 .034525 . . . 8.67e-19 . . . 1 . . . 9670451 .0045147 -214.20 0.000	Coef. Std. Err. z P> z [95% Conf 1 0 (omitted) -18.41 0.000 9552796 .034525 8.67e-19 . . . 1 9670451 .0045147 -214.20 0.000 9758938

Why Cointegrating VAR

• -vec- command: The default (Johansen normalization)



Johansen normalization restrictions imposed

beta	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
_ce1						
linvestment	1					
lconsumption	0	(omitted)				
lincome	863381	.0468879	-18.41	0.000	9552796	7714825
_cons	.034525	•	•	•	•	•
_ce2						
linvestment	8.67e-19					
lconsumption	1					
lincome	9670451	.0045147	-214.20	0.000	9758938	9581964
_cons	1447284					

Why Cointegrating VAR

• -vec- command: Theoretical long-run relationship

	beta Coef Std Frr z		Conf Int	
	ntification: beta is exactly identified			
		No. of obs		
Vec	tor error-correction model			

	Std. Err.			
		-2.44		

>

Why Cointegrating VAR

• -vec- command: Theoretical long-run relationship

- . constraint define 1 [_ce1]linvest =1
- . constraint define 2 [_ce1]lincome =-.75
- . constraint define 3 [_ce2]lconsumption=1
- . constraint define 4 [_ce2]lincome =-.9
- . vec linvest lconsumption lincome, lags(4) rank(2) noetable ///

```
bconstraints(1/4) nolog nocnsreport
```

Vector error-correction model

Sample: 1961q1 - 1982q4 No. of obs = 88 Identification: beta is exactly identified

Coef.	Std. Err.		[95% Conf	. Interval]
		-2.44		

Sanchez - Zavarce (StataCorp - IMF) September 12, 2012

Why Cointegrating VAR

• -vec- command: Theoretical long-run relationship

	<pre>constraint define 1 [_ce1]linvest =1</pre>
	constraint define 2 [_ce1]lincome =75
	<pre>constraint define 3 [_ce2]lconsumption=1</pre>
	constraint define 4 [_ce2]lincome =9
	<pre>vec linvest lconsumption lincome, lags(4) rank(2) noetable ///</pre>
>	bconstraints(1/4) nolog nocnsreport

Vector error-correction model

Sample: 1961q1 - 1982q4 No. of obs = 88 Identification: beta is exactly identified

beta	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
_ce1						
linvestment	1					
lconsumption	1172448	.0480998	-2.44	0.015	2115187	022971
lincome	75					
_cons	.0514938	•	•	•	•	•
_ce2						
linvestment	0776541	.0068539	-11.33	0.000	0910876	0642206
lconsumption	1					
lincome	9					
_cons	1474094		•			

Why Cointegrating VAR

. vec linvest lconsumption lincome, ...

*** Output Omitted ***

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
D_linvestment						
_ce1						
L1.	1040128	.0790621	-1.32	0.188	2589717	.0509461
_ce2						
L1.	.6979509	.4424682	1.58	0.115	169271	1.565173
linvestment						
LD.	2246769	.1290886	-1.74	0.082	4776859	.028332
L2D.	1279608	.1286637	-0.99	0.320	3801371	.1242155
L3D.	.0304474	.126218	0.24	0.809	2169353	.2778301
lconsumption						
LD.	.0546931	.6438309	0.08	0.932	-1.207192	1.316578
L2D.	.3857924	.6440095	0.60	0.549	8764429	1.648028
L3D.	0152393	.5789952	-0.03	0.979	-1.150049	1.11957
lincome						
LD.	1.059818	.6446678	1.64	0.100	2037081	2.323343
L2D.	.7647925	.5900074	1.30	0.195	3916007	1.921186
L3D.	.7128608	.5460636	1.31	0.192	3574042	1.783126
_cons	.0007756	.0211156	0.04	0.971	0406103	.0421615

*** Output Omitted ***

Sanchez - Zavarce (StataCorp - IMF)	September 12, 2012	5 / 35
-------------------------------------	--------------------	--------

└── Why Probability Forecasting

Why Probability Forecasting

• Uncertainty expressed in terms of confidence intervals



└── Why Probability Forecasting

Why Probability Forecasting

• Uncertainty expressed in terms of simulations for single and composed events

	t			Std. Err.
Inflation < 8.8 GDP change > 7.5				
Inflation > 4 Inflation < 7.8	х х	Inflation < 9 GDP change > 5.9		

└── Why Probability Forecasting

Why Probability Forecasting

• Uncertainty expressed in terms of simulations for single and composed events

Even	t		Year	Proportion	Std. Err.
Inflation < 8.8 GDP change > 7.5			2011 2011	.49 .06	.05024184 .02386833
Inflation > 4 Inflation < 7.8	& &	Inflation < 9 GDP change > 5.9	2012 2012	.11 .36	.0314466 .04824182

Scenarios for Inflation and change in GDP

└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

- └── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Theoretical comments

- Based on the vector error correction (VEC) model specification.
- The specification assumes that economic theory characterizes the long-run behavior.
- The short-run fluctuations represent deviations from the equilibrium
- The short-run and long-run economic concepts are linked to the statistical concept of stationarity

- └── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Theoretical comments

- Based on the vector error correction (VEC) model specification.
- The specification assumes that economic theory characterizes the long-run behavior.
- The short-run fluctuations represent deviations from the equilibrium
- The short-run and long-run economic concepts are linked to the statistical concept of stationarity

- └── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Theoretical comments

- Based on the vector error correction (VEC) model specification.
- The specification assumes that economic theory characterizes the long-run behavior.
- The short-run fluctuations represent deviations from the equilibrium
- The short-run and long-run economic concepts are linked to the statistical concept of stationarity

- VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Theoretical comments

- Based on the vector error correction (VEC) model specification.
- The specification assumes that economic theory characterizes the long-run behavior.
- The short-run fluctuations represent deviations from the equilibrium
- The short-run and long-run economic concepts are linked to the statistical concept of stationarity

VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Theoretical comments

Cointegrating VAR Models - Theoretical comments

Reduced form for a VEC model

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$
 (1)

- $y_t : I(1)$ Endogenous variables
- $\alpha\beta$: Matrices containing the long-run adjustment coefficients and cointegrating relationships
- Γ_i : Matrix with coefficients associated to short-run dynamic effects
- $\gamma_0\gamma_1$: Vectors with coefficients associated to the intercepts and trends
- μ_t : Innovations

└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Theoretical comments

Cointegrating VAR Models - Theoretical comments

Reduced form for a VEC model

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$
 (1)

Where:

• $y_t : I(1)$ Endogenous variables

- $\alpha\beta$: Matrices containing the long-run adjustment coefficients and cointegrating relationships
- Γ_i : Matrix with coefficients associated to short-run dynamic effects
- $\gamma_0\gamma_1$: Vectors with coefficients associated to the intercepts and trends
- μ_t : Innovations

└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Theoretical comments

Cointegrating VAR Models - Theoretical comments

Reduced form for a VEC model

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$
(1)

- $y_t : I(1)$ Endogenous variables
- $\alpha\beta$: Matrices containing the long-run adjustment coefficients and cointegrating relationships
- Γ_i : Matrix with coefficients associated to short-run dynamic effects
- $\gamma_0\gamma_1$: Vectors with coefficients associated to the intercepts and trends
- μ_t : Innovations

VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Theoretical comments

Cointegrating VAR Models - Theoretical comments

Reduced form for a VEC model

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$
(1)

- $y_t : I(1)$ Endogenous variables
- $\alpha\beta$: Matrices containing the long-run adjustment coefficients and cointegrating relationships
- Γ_i : Matrix with coefficients associated to short-run dynamic effects
- $\gamma_0\gamma_1$: Vectors with coefficients associated to the intercepts and trends
- μ_t : Innovations

└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Theoretical comments

Cointegrating VAR Models - Theoretical comments

Reduced form for a VEC model

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$
 (1)

- $y_t : I(1)$ Endogenous variables
- $\alpha\beta$: Matrices containing the long-run adjustment coefficients and cointegrating relationships
- Γ_i : Matrix with coefficients associated to short-run dynamic effects
- $\gamma_0\gamma_1$: Vectors with coefficients associated to the intercepts and trends
- μ_t : Innovations

4

Theoretical comments

Cointegrating VAR Models - Theoretical comments

Reduced form for a VEC model

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$
(1)

- Identifying α and β requires r² restrictions (r is the number of cointegrating vectors)
- Johansen (1988) FIML estimation identifies α and β by imposing r^2 atheoretical restrictions

Theoretical comments

Cointegrating VAR Models - Theoretical comments

Reduced form for a VEC model

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$
(1)

- Identifying α and β requires r^2 restrictions (r is the number of cointegrating vectors)
- Johansen (1988) FIML estimation identifies α and β by imposing r^2 atheoretical restrictions

Theoretical comments

Cointegrating VAR Models - Theoretical comments

Reduced form for a VEC model

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$
 (1)

- Identifying α and β requires r^2 restrictions (r is the number of cointegrating vectors)
- Johansen (1988) FIML estimation identifies α and β by imposing r^2 atheoretical restrictions

Theoretical comments

- Garrat et al. (2006) describe the Cointegrating VAR approach:
 - Use economic theory to impose restrictions to identify α and β .
 - Exact identification is not necessarily achieved by the theoretical restrictions.
 - 'Test whether the overidentifying restrictions are valid'.

Theoretical comments

- Garrat et al. (2006) describe the Cointegrating VAR approach:
 - Use economic theory to impose restrictions to identify α and $\beta.$
 - Exact identification is not necessarily achieved by the theoretical restrictions.
 - 'Test whether the overidentifying restrictions are valid'.

Theoretical comments

- Garrat et al. (2006) describe the Cointegrating VAR approach:
 - Use economic theory to impose restrictions to identify α and $\beta.$
 - Exact identification is not necessarily achieved by the theoretical restrictions.
 - 'Test whether the overidentifying restrictions are valid'.

Theoretical comments

- Garrat et al. (2006) describe the Cointegrating VAR approach:
 - Use economic theory to impose restrictions to identify α and $\beta.$
 - Exact identification is not necessarily achieved by the theoretical restrictions.
 - 'Test whether the overidentifying restrictions are valid'.

- └── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Case study: Uruguay

- └── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Case study: Uruguay

- Small open economy with soy, livestock, leather, and rice as the main export products.
- International prices, climate and economic performance of main trade partners represent some of the major sources of fluctuation for the Uruguayan exports.
- Imports are mainly affected by the country's economic activity and the appreciation of the domestic currency (peso).

- VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Case study: Uruguay

- Small open economy with soy, livestock, leather, and rice as the main export products.
- International prices, climate and economic performance of main trade partners represent some of the major sources of fluctuation for the Uruguayan exports.
- Imports are mainly affected by the country's economic activity and the appreciation of the domestic currency (peso).

- VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Case study: Uruguay

- Small open economy with soy, livestock, leather, and rice as the main export products.
- International prices, climate and economic performance of main trade partners represent some of the major sources of fluctuation for the Uruguayan exports.
- Imports are mainly affected by the country's economic activity and the appreciation of the domestic currency (peso).

- └── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - └── Case study: Uruguay



└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Case study: Uruguay

Variables in the cointegrating VAR

- m1 : Currency and demand deposits
- pib : Gross domestic product (GDP)
- tipp906bn : Interest rate.
- tcpn : Exchange rate.
- ipcp97 : Consumer price index (1997 = 100):
- mt : Imports
- xt : Exports
- ipex : Exports price index.
└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Case study: Uruguay

Long-run cointegrating relationships

Long-run adjustment restrictions

 $[D_lipex]\alpha_ce1 = 0$ $[D_lipex]\alpha_ce2 = 0$ $[D_lipex]\alpha_ce3 = 0$

- └── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Case study: Uruguay

Long-run cointegrating relationships

Long-run adjustment restrictions

 $[D_lipex]\alpha_ce1 = 0$ $[D_lipex]\alpha_ce2 = 0$ $[D_lipex]\alpha_ce3 = 0$

- └── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Case study: Uruguay

** Restrictions on long-run cointegrating equations (bconstraints) **

* Restriction on long run equation for lm1 *

constraint 1 [_cel]lm1=1 constraint 2 [_cel]lipcp97=0 constraint 3 [_cel]ltcpn=0 constraint 4 [_cel]ltmt=0 constraint 5 [_cel]ltx=0 constraint 6 [_cel]lipex=0

* Restrictions on long run equation for lmt *

```
constraint 7 [_ce2]lm1=0
constraint 8 [_ce2]ltipp906bn=0
constraint 9 [_ce2]lipcp97=0
constraint 10 [_ce2]lmt=1
constraint 11 [_ce2]lmt=0
constraint 12 [_ce2]lipex=0
```

```
constraint 13 [_ce3]lm1=0
constraint 14 [_ce3]lpib=0
constraint 15 [_ce3]ltipp906bn=(
constraint 16 [_ce3]lmt=0
constraint 17 [_ce3]lxt=1
```

└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Case study: Uruguay

** Restrictions on long-run cointegrating equations (bconstraints) **

* Restriction on long run equation for lm1 *

```
constraint 1 [_cel]lm1=1
constraint 2 [_cel]lipcp97=0
constraint 3 [_cel]ltcpn=0
constraint 4 [_cel]lmt=0
constraint 5 [_cel]lxt=0
constraint 6 [_cel]lipex=0
```

st Restrictions on long run equation for lmt st

```
constraint 7 [__ce2]lm1=0
constraint 8 [_ce2]ltipp906bn=0
constraint 9 [_ce2]lipcp97=0
constraint 10 [_ce2]lmt=1
constraint 11 [_ce2]lmt=0
constraint 12 [_ce2]lipex=0
```

```
constraint 13 [_ce3]lm1=0
constraint 14 [_ce3]lpib=0
constraint 15 [_ce3]ltipp906bn=(
constraint 16 [_ce3]lmt=0
constraint 17 [_ce3]lxt=1
```

└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Case study: Uruguay

** Restrictions on long-run cointegrating equations (bconstraints) **

* Restriction on long run equation for lm1 *

```
constraint 1 [_cel]lm1=1
constraint 2 [_cel]lipcp97=0
constraint 3 [_cel]ltcpn=0
constraint 4 [_cel]lmt=0
constraint 5 [_cel]lxt=0
constraint 6 [_cel]lipex=0
```

* Restrictions on long run equation for lmt *

```
constraint 7 [_ce2]lm1=0
constraint 8 [_ce2]ltipp906bn=0
constraint 9 [_ce2]lipp97=0
constraint 10 [_ce2]lmt=1
constraint 11 [_ce2]lxt=0
constraint 12 [_ce2]lipex=0
```

```
constraint 13 [_ce3]lm1=0
constraint 14 [_ce3]lpib=0
constraint 15 [_ce3]ltipp906bn=(
constraint 16 [_ce3]lmt=0
constraint 17 [_ce3]lxt=1
```

└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Case study: Uruguay

** Restrictions on long-run cointegrating equations (bconstraints) **

* Restriction on long run equation for lm1 *

```
constraint 1 [_cel]lm1=1
constraint 2 [_cel]lipcp97=0
constraint 3 [_cel]ltcpn=0
constraint 4 [_cel]lmt=0
constraint 5 [_cel]lxt=0
constraint 6 [_cel]lipex=0
```

* Restrictions on long run equation for lmt *

```
constraint 7 [_ce2]lm1=0
constraint 8 [_ce2]ltipp906bn=0
constraint 9 [_ce2]lipp97=0
constraint 10 [_ce2]lmt=1
constraint 11 [_ce2]lxt=0
constraint 12 [_ce2]lipex=0
```

```
constraint 13 [_ce3]lm1=0
constraint 14 [_ce3]lpib=0
constraint 15 [_ce3]ltipp906bn=0
constraint 16 [_ce3]lmt=0
constraint 17 [_ce3]lxt=1
```

└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Case study: Uruguay

* Restrictions on long-run adjustment coefficients (aconstraints) *

constraint 18 [D_lipex]1._ce1=0
constraint 19 [D_lipex]1._ce2=0
constraint 20 [D_lipex]1._ce3=0

*** VEC model specification ***

```
vec lm1 lpib ltipp906bn lipcp97 ltcpn lmt lxt lipex, ///
bconstraints(1/17) ///
aconstraints(18/20) ///
lags(4) rank(3) noetable ///
ltolerance(1e-7) tolerance(1e-4)
```

└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

Case study: Uruguay

* Restrictions on long-run adjustment coefficients (aconstraints) *

constraint 18 [D_lipex]1._ce1=0
constraint 19 [D_lipex]1._ce2=0
constraint 20 [D_lipex]1._ce3=0

*** VEC model specification ***

```
vec lm1 lpib ltipp906bn lipcp97 ltcpn lmt lxt lipex, ///
bconstraints(1/17) ///
aconstraints(18/20) ///
lags(4) rank(3) noetable ///
ltolerance(1e-7) tolerance(1e-4)
```

└── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models

– Case study: Uruguay

Cointegrating Equations

Sample: 1990q1 -	2011q2		No. of obs	= 86	
			AIC	= -27.58711	
Log likelihood =	1414.246	HQIC	= -24.96839		
Det(Sigma_ml) =	7.19e-25		SBIC	= -21.08023	
Cointegrating equ	ations				
Equation	Parms chi2	P>chi2			
_ce1	2 170.89	0.0000			
_ce2	2 115.2276	0.0000			
_ce3	3 111.1596	0.0000			

Identification: beta is overidentified

Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]	
1						
-2.388095	.2667403	-8.95	0.000	-2.910897	-1.865294	
.2394962	.0401545	5.96	0.000	.1607948	.3181976	
0	(omitted)					
0	(omitted)					
0	(omitted)					
0	(omitted)					
0	(omitted)					
34.28762						
	Coef. 1 -2.388095 .2394962 0 0 0 0 0 0 34.28762	Coef. Std. Err. 1 -2.388095 .2667403 .2394962 .0401545 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) 34.28762	Coef. Std. Err. z 1 . . -2.388095 .2667403 -8.95 .2394962 .0401545 5.96 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) 34.28762 .	Coef. Std. Err. z P> z 1 . . -2.388095 .2667403 -8.95 0.000 .2394962 .0401545 5.96 0.000 0 (omitted) 0 0 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) 34.28762 . .	Coef. Std. Err. z P> z [95% Conf. 1 -2.388095 .2667403 -8.95 0.000 -2.910897 .2394962 .0401545 5.96 0.000 .1607948 0 (omitted) . . . 0 (omitted) . . . 0 (omitted) . . . 34.28762 	Coef. Std. Err. z P> z [95% Conf. Interval] 1 -2.388095 .2667403 -8.95 0.000 -2.910897 -1.865294 .2394962 .0401545 5.96 0.000 .1607948 .3181976 0 (omitted) 0 (omitted) . . . 0 (omitted) . . . 34.28762

Sanchez - Zavarce (StataCorp - IMF) September 12, 2012

- VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - └── Case study: Uruguay

Cointegrating Equations

beta	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
_ce2						
lm1	0	(omitted)				
lpib	-1.190284	.1176426	-10.12	0.000	-1.420859	9597084
ltipp906bn	0	(omitted)				
lipcp97	0	(omitted)				
ltcpn	.1906142	.0365307	5.22	0.000	.1190154	.262213
lmt	1					
lxt	0	(omitted)				
lipex	0	(omitted)				
_cons	4.087814					
_ce3						
lm1	0	(omitted)				
lpib	0	(omitted)				
ltipp906bn	0	(omitted)				
lipcp97	268.7157	28.66662	9.37	0.000	212.5301	324.9012
ltcpn	-130.17	27.2982	-4.77	0.000	-183.6735	-76.66648
lmt	0	(omitted)				
lxt	1					
lipex	-337.4693	45.183	-7.47	0.000	-426.0264	-248.9123
_cons	470.4702				•	
-						

Sanchez - Zavarce (StataCorp - IMF) September 12, 2012

- └── VECM and Cointegrating VAR Models
 - Case study: Uruguay

Long-run cointegrating relationships

 $\texttt{lm1} = 2.39 \times \texttt{lpib} - 0.24 \times \texttt{ltipp906bn} - 34.29$

 $\texttt{lmt} = 1.19 \times \texttt{lpib} - 0.19 \times \texttt{ltcpn} - 4.09$

 $\texttt{lxt} = -268.7 \times \texttt{lipcp97} + 130.2 \times \texttt{ltcpn} + 337.5 \times \texttt{lipex} - 470.5$

Probability Forecasting

- Probability Forecasting
- Theoretical comments

- Obtain the probability that a single or joint event occurs, conditional on the information contained in the estimation sample.
- We could define the event in terms of the levels of one or more variables, for one or more future time periods.
- It is associated to the uncertainty inherent to the predictions produced by regression models.

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- Obtain the probability that a single or joint event occurs, conditional on the information contained in the estimation sample.
- We could define the event in terms of the levels of one or more variables, for one or more future time periods.
- It is associated to the uncertainty inherent to the predictions produced by regression models.

- Probability Forecasting
- Theoretical comments

- Obtain the probability that a single or joint event occurs, conditional on the information contained in the estimation sample.
- We could define the event in terms of the levels of one or more variables, for one or more future time periods.
- It is associated to the uncertainty inherent to the predictions produced by regression models.

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- This methodology can be applied to a wide spectrum of models. Our focus here is on the predictions from a cointegrating VAR model.
- In general, forecasting based on econometric models are subject to:
 - Future uncertainty
 - Parameters uncertainty
 - Model uncertainty
 - Measure and policy uncertainty

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- This methodology can be applied to a wide spectrum of models. Our focus here is on the predictions from a cointegrating VAR model.
- In general, forecasting based on econometric models are subject to:
 - Future uncertainty
 - Parameters uncertainty
 - Model uncertainty
 - Measure and policy uncertainty

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- This methodology can be applied to a wide spectrum of models. Our focus here is on the predictions from a cointegrating VAR model.
- In general, forecasting based on econometric models are subject to:

• Future uncertainty

- Parameters uncertainty
- Model uncertainty
- Measure and policy uncertainty

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- This methodology can be applied to a wide spectrum of models. Our focus here is on the predictions from a cointegrating VAR model.
- In general, forecasting based on econometric models are subject to:

• Future uncertainty

- Parameters uncertainty
- Model uncertainty
- Measure and policy uncertainty

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

• Future uncertainty with parametric approach

• Let's consider a standard linear regression model:

$$y_t = x_{t-1} * \beta + \mu_t$$
 ; $\mu \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

• For σ^2 known we could simulate $y_{T+1}^{(s)}$:

$$y_{T+1}^{(s)} = x_t * \hat{\beta} + \mu_{T+1}^{(s)}$$
; $s = 1, 2, ..., S$

Where:

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

• Future uncertainty with parametric approach

• Let's consider a standard linear regression model:

$$y_t = x_{t-1} * \beta + \mu_t$$
 ; $\mu \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

• For σ^2 known we could simulate $y_{T+1}^{(s)}$:

$$y_{T+1}^{(s)} = x_t * \hat{\beta} + \mu_{T+1}^{(s)}$$
; $s = 1, 2, ..., S$

Where:

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- Future uncertainty with parametric approach
 - Let's consider a standard linear regression model:

$$y_t = x_{t-1} * \beta + \mu_t$$
 ; $\mu \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

• For σ^2 known we could simulate $y_{T+1}^{(s)}$:

$$y_{T+1}^{(s)} = x_t * \hat{\beta} + \mu_{T+1}^{(s)}$$
; $s = 1, 2, ..., S$

Where:

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- Future uncertainty with parametric approach
 - Let's consider a standard linear regression model:

$$y_t = x_{t-1} * \beta + \mu_t$$
 ; $\mu \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

• For σ^2 known we could simulate $y_{T+1}^{(s)}$:

$$y_{T+1}^{(s)} = x_t * \hat{\beta} + \mu_{T+1}^{(s)}$$
; $s = 1, 2, ..., S$

Where:

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- Computations for VAR cointegrating models
 - Let's consider the VECM model:

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$

- Simulated errors are drawn from in sample residuals.
- The Choleski decomposition for the estimated Var-Cov matrix of the error term is used in a two-stage procedure combined with the simulated errors.

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- Computations for VAR cointegrating models
 - Let's consider the VECM model:

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$

- Simulated errors are drawn from in sample residuals.
- The Choleski decomposition for the estimated Var-Cov matrix of the error term is used in a two-stage procedure combined with the simulated errors.

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- Computations for VAR cointegrating models
 - Let's consider the VECM model:

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$

- Simulated errors are drawn from in sample residuals.
- The Choleski decomposition for the estimated Var-Cov matrix of the error term is used in a two-stage procedure combined with the simulated errors.

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- Computations for VAR cointegrating models
 - Let's consider the VECM model:

$$\Delta y_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 * t - \alpha \beta y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \mu_t$$

- Simulated errors are drawn from in sample residuals.
- The Choleski decomposition for the estimated Var-Cov matrix of the error term is used in a two-stage procedure combined with the simulated errors.

- Theoretical comments - Sample code

Sample code for Probability forecasting after VEC

** VEC model **

vec lm1 lpib ltipp906bn lipcp97 ltcpn lmt lxt lipex, ///
bconstraints(1/17) aconstraint(18/20) lags(4) rank(3) ...

```
** Residuals for VEC ecuations **
foreach x of varlist lm1 lmt lxt lpib ... lipex {
    predict double res_`x´ if e(sample),resid equat(D_`x´)
}
```

** Transform residuals for simulation - Garrat et al. **
matrix sigma=e(omega)
matrix P=cholesky(sigma)
mkmat res_lm1 res_lmt res_lxt res_lpib ... res_lipex ///
if tin(1990q1,2011q2),matrix(res)
matrix invP_res=inv(P)*res^{
matrix invP rs1=invP res^{-1/4} Matrix in last line on p.167 */

- Theoretical comments - Sample code

Sample code for Probability forecasting after VEC

```
** VEC model **
```

```
vec lm1 lpib ltipp906bn lipcp97 ltcpn lmt lxt lipex, ///
bconstraints(1/17) aconstraint(18/20) lags(4) rank(3) ...
```

```
** Residuals for VEC ecuations **
foreach x of varlist lm1 lmt lxt lpib ... lipex {
    predict double res_`x´ if e(sample),resid equat(D_`x´)
}
```

- Theoretical comments - Sample code

Sample code for Probability forecasting after VEC

```
** VEC model **
    vec lm1 lpib ltipp906bn lipcp97 ltcpn lmt lxt lipex,
                                                               111
       bconstraints(1/17) aconstraint(18/20) lags(4) rank(3) ...
** Residuals for VEC ecuations **
    foreach x of varlist lm1 lmt lxt lpib ... lipex {
        predict double res_`x´ if e(sample), resid equat(D_`x`)
    }
** Transform residuals for simulation - Garrat et al. **
   matrix sigma=e(omega)
    matrix P=cholesky(sigma)
    mkmat res_lm1 res_lmt res_lxt res_lpib ... res_lipex
                                                             111
           if tin(1990q1,2011q2),matrix(res)
    matrix invP res=inv(P)*res<sup>-</sup>
    matrix invP_rs1=invP_res' /* Matrix in last line on p.167 */
```

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- Simulation
 - Generate dynamic predictions (from Cointegrating VAR) for the forecasting period.
 - Replications
 - Draw sample of transformed residuals and add them to point dynamic forecasts.
- Define events and obtain proportions with simulated dynamic predictions.

- Probability Forecasting
 - Theoretical comments

- Simulation
 - Generate dynamic predictions (from Cointegrating VAR) for the forecasting period.
 - Replications
 - Draw sample of transformed residuals and add them to point dynamic forecasts.
- Define events and obtain proportions with simulated dynamic predictions.

Probability Forecasting

- Simulation
 - Generate dynamic predictions (from Cointegrating VAR) for the forecasting period.
 - Replications
 - Draw sample of transformed residuals and add them to point dynamic forecasts.

• Define events and obtain proportions with simulated dynamic predictions.

- Simulation
 - Generate dynamic predictions (from Cointegrating VAR) for the forecasting period.
 - Replications
 - Draw sample of transformed residuals and add them to point dynamic forecasts.
- Define events and obtain proportions with simulated dynamic predictions.

- Probability Forecasting
 - Case study: Uruguay

Case study: Uruguay

- Probability Forecasting
 - Case study: Uruguay

Case study: Uruguay

• Scenarios for Export Prices

Scenario			
Quarter	Inertial	Moderate Impact	
2011Q3	17.26	16.40	
2011Q4	13.63	9.90	
201201	10.28	2.70	
201202	7.44	-2.00	
201203	6.04	-3.60	
201204	7.41	-2.40	

Interannual Change in Exports Prices
- Probability Forecasting
 - Case study: Uruguay

Case study: Uruguay

• Probability forecasting for GDP

Event		Year	Proportion	Std. Err.
Change in GDP Change in GDP Change in GDP Change in GDP Change in GDP Change in GDP	<pre>< 6 > 7.5 > 5.5 and < 7.5 < 4.2 > 5.9 > 4.2 and < 5.9</pre>	2011 2011 2011 2012 2012 2012 2012	.72 .06 .33 .41 .33 .26	.04512609 .02386833 .04725816 .04943111 .04725816 .0440844

Scenarios for Change in GDP

Cointegrating VAR - Probability Forecasting

Probability Forecasting

— Case study: Uruguay

Case study: Uruguay



- Probability Forecasting
 - References

References

- Garratt, A., Lee, K., M.H. Pesaran and Y. Shin (2006), "Global and National Macroeconometric Modeling: A long-run structural approach" Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Johansen, S. (1988) 'Statistical analysis of cointegration vectors' Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, 12, 231-254.
- International Monetary Fund: http://www.imf.org/external/np/res/commod/index.aspx

Cointegrating VAR - Probability Forecasting

Questions

Questions - Comments