II Portuguese Stata User Group Meeting,

Determinants of generics' market growth

Bruno Duarte Martins Pedro Pita Barros Luís Catela Nunes

Nova School of Business and Economics

Lisbon, September 7th, 2012

Motivation

- Objective included in the National Drug Policy;
- Almost inexistent market ten years ago;
- More than 50 policy measures in 2000-2010 to promote the market for generics;
- No miracle solution to boost it;
- No formal analysis of how effective these were has ever been made;
- Waste of resources and wrong policy making?
- Main conclusion: Most measures were not successful.

Outline

- **1**. Introduction;
- 2. Methodology;
- 3. Results;
- 4. Conclusions.

Introduction

- Part of the project "10 Anos de Política do Medicamento em Portugal" – Available at <u>www.saude-em-rede.org</u>
- Advantages of using generics:
 - Government: Decreases public expenditure and improves the financial sustainability of the NHS;
 - Patients: Same treatment at a lower price.
- Successful policy: Increases sales and/or market shares;
- No cost-benefit analysis;
- Optimistic view Potential positive bias towards successful policies.

Introduction

- Market variables:
 - Total value of generics sales, current prices;
 - Total value of generics sales, January 2001 prices;
 - Market share of generic sales to total value of pharmaceuticals, in value;
 - Generics sales, in number of packages;
 - Market share of generic packages to total pharmaceutical packages.
- Last two: Notion of quantity, though not perfect;
- Time span: January 2000 until October 2010.

Methodology

• Estimation of a diffusion curve: **Logistic function**

$$y = \frac{K}{1 + \exp\{-(b_1 + b_2 D_t)(t - a)\}}$$

- *D_t* is a dummy representing the policy measure;
- Logarithms were also used due to heteroskedasticity.



Methodology

- Transition to the *new* curve is not smooth;
- Must distinguish immediate impact (jump) from diffused impact (b₂);
- Relation between time and sign of immediate impact;
- Policy impact

 interpretation must
 consider the adoption time.



Positive jump

 $b_2 < 0.$

Negative jump

Results – Total value of generics sales



 Market reaching its highest value: 55€ Million – Near-zero growth rate.

Results – Total value of generics sales

- What had an effect?
 - Governmental price increases (positive impact) Dec 2001 and Jan 2003;
 - 30% cut in generic prices (negative impact) Oct 2008;
 - The liberalization of over-the-counter drugs covered by NHS reimbursement system (negative impact) June 2007.
- What did not work?
 - NHS price coverage regime (except in one year) and price-definition rules;
 - Price reductions of 6% in all pharmaceuticals Sep 2005 and Jan 2007;
 - Number of homogeneous groups.
- CPI deflated: Similar results (OTC liberalization does not work).

Results – Market share of sales, in value



• Market reaching its highest value: 19.5% - Near-zero growth rate.

Results – Market share of sales, in value

- What had an effect?
 - 30% cut in generic prices (negative impact) Oct 2008;
 - Application of the reference pricing system to coverage on NHS subsystems (positive impact) - Sep 2003.
- What did not work?
 - NHS price coverage regime;
 - Governmental price increases;
 - Price reductions of 6% in all pharmaceuticals;
 - Number of homogeneous groups;
 - The liberalization of over-the-counter drugs;

Results – Generic sales, in # of packages



- Market still growing, though more slowly;
- Maximum value: 4.5 Million packages.

Results – Generic sales, in # of packages

- What had an effect?
 - Mandatory prescription through International Nonproprietary Name (INN) (positive impact) - Sep 2000;
 - Governmental price increases (positive impact) Dec 01 and Jan 03;
 - Obligation of physicians and pharmacy workers to notify patients of the existence of generics (positive impact) – Jan 2003;
 - Reference pricing system (negative impact) March 2003;
- What did not work?
 - NHS price coverage regime;
 - Price reductions Sep 2005, Jan 2007 and Oct 2008;
 - The liberalization of over-the-counter drugs Sep 2005 and June 2007.

Results – Market share of packages



- Market still growing;
- Maximum value: 36% (highly uncertain).

Results – Market share of packages

- What had an effect?
 - Only three measures taken at the same time (Jan 2005): not possible to know exactly which one actually had an impact:
 - (i) Redefinition of pharmaceutical groups according to a new classification (does not change coverage regime);
 - (ii) New rules for the dimension of packages of pharmaceuticals under NHS coverage – Most likely cause;
 - (iii) Reference pricing system for NHS coverage on ADMG and SAD.
- What did not work?
 - Basically everything;
 - But not that surprising!

Conclusions

- For the time frame between January 2000 and October 2010;
- The market has followed its own dynamic;
- More than half of the policies adopted had no impact;
- Some had effect in the opposite direction;
- Impact more easily found in value rather than in quantity;
- Demand price elasticity lower than the unity;
- Lack of competition among generic producers.

Thank you!