

Intervention time-series model using transfer functions

Xingwu Zhou & Nicola Orsini

Biostatistics team, Department of Public Health Sciences

Sept 1, 2017

Introduction

- I will present a Stata command tstf to estimate the intervention time series with transfer functions.
- The method has been described by Box and Tiao (1975, JASA).
- Estimation, inference, and graphs will be given for both the original data and the log-transformed data.
- The method will be illustrated using the Swedish National Tobacco Quitline (SRL)

Xingwu Zhou (PHS-KI)

 RCT: Randomized controlled trials are considered the ideal approach for assessing the effectiveness of interventions.

- RCT: Randomized controlled trials are considered the ideal approach for assessing the effectiveness of interventions.
- Time series analysis is a quasi-experimental design useful to evaluate the longitudinal effects of interventions on a population level.

- RCT: Randomized controlled trials are considered the ideal approach for assessing the effectiveness of interventions.
- Time series analysis is a quasi-experimental design useful to evaluate the longitudinal effects of interventions on a population level.
- Intervention time series analysis is widely used in areas like finance, economics, labor markets, transportation, public health and so on .

SRL



SLUTA-RÖKA-LINJEN 🔀 RING 020-84 00 00

The Swedish National Tobacco Quitline (SRL) established in 1998 is a nationwide, free service, providing telephone counseling for tobacco users who want to quit the habit.



According to Swedish proposition 2015/16:82, started from May 2016, new cigarette packages sold in Sweden will have to display pictorial warnings together with text warnings and the SRL telephone number.

SRL, Calling per 100,000 smokers



There is a need to understand how this new policy will affect the care-seeking behavior of Swedish tobacco users.

- There is a need to understand how this new policy will affect the care-seeking behavior of Swedish tobacco users.
- To which extent this measure has been effective in inducing a behavioral change among Swedish tobacco users is not known.

- There is a need to understand how this new policy will affect the care-seeking behavior of Swedish tobacco users.
- To which extent this measure has been effective in inducing a behavioral change among Swedish tobacco users is not known.
- A change in the inflow of calls received at the quitline may be used as an estimator of the population impact of policy measures.

What already have in Stata?

Stata package itsa analyses interrupted time series using segmented regression.

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T + \beta_2 X_t + \beta_3 X T_t \tag{1}$$

- β_0 represents the baseline level at T = 0,
- β_1 is interpreted as the change in outcome associated with a time unit increase
- β_2 is the level change following the intervention
- β_3 indicates the slope change following the intervention.

shortcomings: hard to reduce the auto-correlation among the residuals.

Intervention time series

The intervention time series model (Box and Tiao, 1975, JASA) can be expressed as:

$$Y_t = M_t + X_t, \tag{2}$$

where Y_t represents the monthly (log) calling rate per 100,000 smokers;

Intervention time series

The intervention time series model (Box and Tiao, 1975, JASA) can be expressed as:

$$Y_t = M_t + X_t, \tag{2}$$

where Y_t represents the monthly (log) calling rate per 100,000 smokers;

 X_t is a seasonal Box and Jenkin's ARIMA (p, d, q)(P, D, Q) model which represents the baseline or background monthly (log) calling rate per 100,000 smokers throughout the selected interval of time;

Time series background

A Box and Jenkin's ARIMA (p, d, q) model can be written as

$$\phi_p(B)(1-B)^d X_t = \theta_q(B)\epsilon_t, \tag{3}$$

where *B* is the back-shift operator such that $BX_t = X_{t-1}$, *d* is the number of trend differences, $\phi_P(B)$ and $\theta_q(B)$ are the polynomials in *B* of order *p* and *q* separately, that is $\phi_P(B) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_i B^i$, $\theta_q(B) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{q} \theta_j B^j$. If we consider seasonality, Model (3) can be modified as

$$\phi_{\mathcal{P}}(B)\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(B^{s})(1-B)^{d}(1-B^{s})^{D}X_{t}=\theta_{q}(B)\Theta_{\mathcal{Q}}(B^{s})\epsilon_{t},$$
(4)

where *D* is the number of seasonal differences, *s* is the seasonal period, and $\Phi_P(B^s)$ and $\Theta_Q(B^s)$ are polynomials in B^s of order *P* and *Q*, respectively.

Transfer function

Two types of interventions: step and pulse interventions (Box and Tiao, 1975)



* (a), (b), (c) show the response to a step input for various simple transfer function models; (d), (e), (f) show the response to a pulse for some models of interest.

 M_t represents the additive change in the log calling rate due to the intervention. In other words M_t is the log rate ratio at certain time t.

 M_t is a transfer function of intervention (or dummy) variable I_t ,

$$I_t = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } t >= T_0, \ 0 & ext{otherwise }. \end{cases}$$

 M_t represents the additive change in the log calling rate due to the intervention. In other words M_t is the log rate ratio at certain time t.

 M_t is a transfer function of intervention (or dummy) variable I_t ,

$$I_t = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } t >= T_0, \ 0 & ext{otherwise }. \end{cases}$$

A flexible yet parsimonious form of M_t could be a "first order" dynamic process of I_t , e.g., $M_t = \delta M_{t-1} + \omega I_t$. The value of the transfer function M_t is as following:

$$M_t = egin{cases} rac{\omega(1-\delta^{k+1})}{1-\delta} & ext{ if } k >= 0, \ 0 & ext{ otherwise }, \end{cases}$$

Compared to the calling rates that would have been observed in the absence of intervention, the relative change in the calling rate k months after intervention is a non-linear function of two parameters (ω, δ)

$$\mathsf{RR}_k = egin{cases} \exp(\omega(rac{1-\delta^{k+1}}{1-\delta})) & ext{if } k \geq 0 \ 1 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The limits of the function are $RR(\omega, \delta, k \rightarrow 0) = exp(\omega)$ "immediate" intervention effect occurring exactly the intervention month;

The limits of the function are $RR(\omega, \delta, k \to 0) = exp(\omega)$ "immediate" intervention effect occurring exactly the intervention month;

 $RR(\omega, \delta, k \rightarrow large) = exp(\frac{\omega}{1-\delta})$ "permanent or long term" effect after k months;

The limits of the function are $RR(\omega, \delta, k \to 0) = exp(\omega)$ "immediate" intervention effect occurring exactly the intervention month;

 $RR(\omega, \delta, k \rightarrow large) = exp(\frac{\omega}{1-\delta})$ "permanent or long term" effect after k months;

The parameter δ provides information about how quickly the rate ratio converges toward its long-term value. The cloest δ to 0, the quickest is the convergence.

(1) No intervention effect:

If $\omega = 0$ the $\textit{RR}_k = 1$ regardless of the magnitude and sign of δ

(2) Immediate (either positive or negative) and no further effect over time: If $\delta = 0$ the $RR_k = exp(\omega)$



(3) Immediate positive effect plus a smooth and gradual increasing effect over time or an oscillating effect over time



Algorithm

Let $\theta = (\theta'_1, \theta'_2)'$, θ_1 includes the parameters from the transfer function such that $\theta_1 = (\delta, \omega)'$; and θ_2 includes the parameters coming from the time series models.

(1) Create the likelihood function in Mata

(a) Use θ_1 to calculate m_t , where $m_t = \delta * m_{t-1} + \omega * I_t$;

(b) Update $y_t^* = y_t - m_t$;

(c) Use θ_2 and y_t^* to calculate the likelihood, by calling Stata arima command

(d) Return the likelihood

(2) Maximize the likelihood function using Mata optimize()

(i) Use the BFGS algorithm

(3) Inference on the intervention effects

(i) Delta method using nlcom

(4) Tabulate the estimated results, plot the graphs and so on

// Simple step function
tstf lograte after

// Simple step function
tstf lograte after

// Controlling the ARIMA and transfer parameters
tstf lograte after, arima(1,0,1) sarima(0,1,0,12) t(1,0)

// Simple step function
tstf lograte after

// Controlling the ARIMA and transfer parameters
tstf lograte after, arima(1,0,1) sarima(0,1,0,12) t(1,0)

```
// Graphs and tabulated
tstf lograte after, arima(1,0,1) sarima(0,1,0,12) t(1,0) method(ML) ///
greffect gredate tabulate
```

// Simple step function
tstf lograte after

// Controlling the ARIMA and transfer parameters
tstf lograte after, arima(1,0,1) sarima(0,1,0,12) t(1,0)

```
// Graphs and tabulated
tstf lograte after, arima(1,0,1) sarima(0,1,0,12) t(1,0) method(ML) ///
greffect gredate tabulate
```

```
// Graphs and tabulated, exponentitation
tstf lograte after, arima(1,0,1) sarima(0,1,0, 12) t(1,0) method(ML) ///
gre grd eform tabulate
```

Steps when using tstf command

- Choose the orders of the (seasonal) ARIMA background through the pre-intervention time points;
- Choose an intervention model;
- Estimate the model through ML and get the common dynamic effect of the intervention;
- ▶ Inference on the effect *k*-months after each intervention.

Data: Calling rate per 100,000 smokers



Larger pictorial warnings on cigarette packs (May 2016)

Output

// Simple step function tstf lograte after ARIMA regression with a transfer function No. of obs = 67 Optimization = CSS-ML Log likelihood = 26.707402 Sample = 2012m2 - 2017m8 Intervention starts = 2016m5 lograte | Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval] ARIMA | ar1 | .499028 .1063989 4.69 0.000 .2904899 .7075661 _cons | 4.307029 .0438631 98.19 0.000 4.221059 4.392999 TRANSFER | omega .0429717 .0866812 0.50 0.620 -.1269204 .2128638

Output

```
//Controlling the ARIMA and transfer parameters
tstf lograte after, arima(1,0,1) sarima(0,1,0,12) t(1,0) ///
              method(ML) gre grd eform tabulate
ARIMA regression with a transfer function No. of obs =
                                                     67
Optimization = ML
Log likelihood = 42.170879
Sample = 2012m2 - 2017m8 Intervention starts = 2016m5
   lograte | Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
      ARTMA I
      ar1 | .8558569 .1183034 7.23 0.000 .6239865 1.087727
      ma1 | -.5901846 .1807572 -3.27 0.001 -.9444622 -.2359069
TRANSFER
        - I
    delta | .9054777 .0727619 12.44 0.000 .762867 1.048088
    omega .0472376 .0212599 2.22 0.026 .005569 .0889062
```

Output, continue

// tabulate the confidence intervals

tstf lograte after, arima(3,1,2) sarima(1,0,0,12) t(1,0) ///
 method(ML) eform tabulate

Table of effects k units of time after intervention

time	k e	xp(Eff)	LB	UB	P-value
2016m5	0	1.05	1.01	1.09	0.026
2016m6	1	1.09	1.02	1.18	0.017
2016m7	2	1.14	1.03	1.26	0.011
2016m8	3	1.18	1.05	1.32	0.006
2016m9	4	1.22	1.07	1.39	0.003
2016m10	5	1.25	1.09	1.44	0.002
2016m11	6	1.28	1.11	1.49	0.001
2016m12	7	1.32	1.13	1.54	0.001
2017m1	8	1.34	1.14	1.58	0.000
2017m2	9	1.37	1.16	1.62	0.000
2017m3	10	1.39	1.17	1.65	0.000
2017m4	11	1.42	1.19	1.69	0.000
2017m5	12	1.44	1.19	1.73	0.000
2017m6	13	1.46	1.20	1.76	0.000
2017m7	14	1.47	1.20	1.80	0.000

Output, continue



The calling rate gradually and significantly (p-value < 0.001) increased after the introduction of the larger pictorial warnings on the cigarette packs.

Summary

- We are working on a Stata package tstf to estimate intervention time series model with transfer functions
- We focus on the transfer functions with two parameters (shape and scale parameter), the background is a seasonal time series model
- Estimation, inference, and graphs are given for both the original data and the log-transformed data

Future work

- Keep on working the tstf package for multiple interventions
- Power calculations
- Time-vary confounders

Team members

XingWu Zhou, Postdoc Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet

Alessio Crippa, PhD student Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet

Rosaria Galanti, Professor Epidemiology, Centre of Epidemiology and Community Medicine

Nicola Orsini, Associate Professor Medical Statistics, Karolinska Institutet

References

(1) Box, G.E. P., Tiao G. C. Intervention analysis with applications to economic and environmental problems. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 1975. 70(349), 70-79.

(2) Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference. 2002. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.