Title

IODIL — IODIL legiession	tobit	— Tobit	regression
---------------------------------	-------	---------	------------

Description Options References Quick start Remarks and examples Also see Menu Stored results Syntax Methods and formulas

Description

tobit fits models for continuous responses where the outcome variable is censored. Censoring limits may be fixed for all observations or vary across observations.

Quick start

Tobit regression of y on x1 and x2, specifying that y is censored at the minimum of y tobit y x1 x2, 11

- Same as above, but where the lower-censoring limit is zero tobit y x1 x2, 11(0)
- Same as above, but specify the lower- and upper-censoring limits tobit y x1 x2, ll(17) ul(34)
- Same as above, but where lower and upper are variables containing the censoring limits tobit y x1 x2, ll(lower) ul(upper)

Menu

Statistics > Linear models and related > Censored regression > Tobit regression

Syntax

tobit depvar [indepvars] [if] [in] [weight] [, options]

options	Description
Model	
<u>nocons</u> tant	suppress constant term
11[(<i>varname</i> #)]	left-censoring variable or limit
ul[(<i>varname</i> #)]	right-censoring variable or limit
<u>off</u> set(<i>varname</i>)	include varname in model with coefficient constrained to 1
<pre><u>const</u>raints(constraints)</pre>	apply specified linear constraints
SE/Robust	
vce(vcetype)	<pre>vcetype may be oim, opg, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or jackknife</pre>
Reporting	
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
<u>nocnsr</u> eport	do not display constraints
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Maximization	
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used
<u>col</u> linear	keep collinear variables
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics

indepvars may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.

depvar and indepvars may contain time-series operators; see [U] 11.4.4 Time-series varlists.

bayes, bootstrap, by, collect, fmm, fp, jackknife, nestreg, rolling, statsby, stepwise, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands. For more details, see [BAYES] bayes: tobit and [FMM] fmm: tobit. Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.

aweights are not allowed with the jackknife prefix; see [R] jackknife.

vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.

aweights, fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

collinear and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options

Model

noconstant; see [R] Estimation options.

11 [(varname | #)] and u1 [(varname | #)] indicate the lower and upper limits for censoring, respectively. Observations with depvar ≤ 11() are left-censored; observations with depvar ≥ u1() are right-censored; and remaining observations are not censored. You do not have to specify the censoring values. If you specify 11, the lower limit is the minimum of depvar. If you specify u1, the upper limit is the maximum of depvar.

offset(varname), constraints(constraints); see [R] Estimation options.

SE/Robust

vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim, opg), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

Reporting

level(#), nocnsreport; see [R] Estimation options.

display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, notvlabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(% fmt), pformat(% fmt), sformat(% fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.

Maximization

maximize_options: difficult, technique(algorithm_spec), iterate(#), [no]log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(#), ltolerance(#), nrtolerance(#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] Maximize. These options are seldom used.

The following options are available with tobit but are not shown in the dialog box:

collinear, coeflegend; see [R] Estimation options.

Remarks and examples

stata.com

tobit fits a linear regression model for a censored continuous outcome. Censoring occurs when the dependent variable is observed only within a certain range of values. When it is not, we know only that it is either above (right-censoring) or below (left-censoring) the censoring value. Censoring differs from truncation. When the data are truncated, we do not observe either the dependent variable or the covariates; see [R] **truncreg**.

Censoring may result from study design or may be a result of how the outcome is measured. Right-censoring of data may occur, for example, in income surveys that top code the highest income category. Any respondent that earns the censoring limit or more reports only the value at the limit, and we do not know the respondent's true income. Left-censoring arises naturally when measurements are obtained from an instrument or a laboratory procedure that has a limit of detection. If we observe a value at the measurement limit, we know the true value is at the limit or below it. tobit allows the censoring limits to be the same for all observations or to vary from observation to observation.

Tobin (1958) originally conceived the tobit model as one of consumption of consumer durables where purchases were left-censored at zero. Contemporary literature treats this and similar cases as a corner solution model. See Wooldridge (2020, sec. 17.2), Long (1997, 196–210), and Maddala and Lahiri (2006, 333–336) for an introduction to the tobit model. Wooldridge (2010, chap. 17 and 19) provides an advanced treatment of censored regression models. Cameron and Trivedi (2022, chap. 19) discuss the tobit model using Stata examples.

The tobit model can be written as the latent regression model $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ with a continuous outcome that is either observed or unobserved. Following Cong (2000), the observed outcome for observation *i* is defined as

$$y_i^* = \begin{cases} y_i & \text{if } a < y_i < b \\ a & \text{if } y_i \leq a \\ b & \text{if } y_i \geq b \end{cases}$$

where a is the lower-censoring limit and b is the upper-censoring limit. The tobit model assumes that the error term is normally distributed; $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$. Depending on the problem at hand, the quantity of interest in a tobit model may be the censored outcome, y_i^* , or the uncensored outcome, y_i . In the measurement instrument scenario above, we may wish to predict the values that fall below the measurement threshold. By contrast, in the consumption of consumer durables scenario above, the latent variable is an artificial construct and the variable of interest is the observed consumer expenditure.

Example 1: Constant-censoring limit

University administrators want to know the relationship between high school grade point average (GPA) and students' performance in college. gpa.dta contains fictional data on a cohort of 4,000 college students. College GPA (gpa2) and high school GPA (hsgpa) are measured on a continuous scale between zero and four. The outcome of interest is the student's college GPA. But, for reasons of confidentiality, GPAs below 2.0 are reported as 2.0. In other words, the outcome is censored on the left.

We believe that GPA is also a function of the logarithm of income of the student's parents (pincome) and whether or not the student participated in a study-skills program while in college (program).

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r18/gpa
(High school GPA and performance in college)
. tobit gpa2 hsgpa pincome program, 11
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0: Log likelihood = -2551.3989
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:
              Log likelihood = -2551.3989
Iteration 1:
              Log likelihood = -2065.4023
Iteration 2:
              Log likelihood = -2015.8135
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -2015.1281
Iteration 4:
              Log likelihood = -2015.1258
              Log likelihood = -2015.1258
Iteration 5:
Tobit regression
                                                     Number of obs
                                                                            4,000
                                                             Uncensored =
                                                                             2,794
                                                                             1,206
Limits: Lower =
                    2
                                                         Left-censored =
        Upper = +inf
                                                        Right-censored =
                                                                                 0
                                                     LR chi2(3)
                                                                        = 4712.61
                                                     Prob > chi2
                                                                           0.0000
                                                                        =
Log likelihood = -2015.1258
                                                     Pseudo R2
                                                                           0.5390
                Coefficient
                             Std. err.
                                             t
                                                  P>|t|
                                                             [95% conf. interval]
        gpa2
                                          51.18
                                                  0.000
                                                                          .6838632
       hsgpa
                  .6586311
                             .0128699
                                                              .633399
     pincome
                  .3159297
                             .0074568
                                          42.37
                                                  0.000
                                                             .3013103
                                                                          .3305491
     program
                  .5554416
                             .0147468
                                          37.67
                                                  0.000
                                                             .5265297
                                                                          .5843535
                                                                         -.7964482
                 -.8902578
                             .0478484
                                         -18.61
                                                  0.000
                                                            -.9840673
       _cons
  var(e.gpa2)
                   .161703
                              .0044004
                                                             .1533019
                                                                          .1705645
```

tobit reports the coefficients for the latent regression model. Thus, we can interpret the coefficients just as we would the coefficients from OLS. For example, participation in a study-skills program increases the expected uncensored GPA by 0.56 points.

Example 2: Tobit model for a corner solution

c.wexper#

wifeage kl6

k618

_cons

var(e.whrs75)

c.wexper

-1.864153

-54.40491

-894.0202

-16.21805

965.3068

1258927

.5376606

7.418483

111.8777

446.4351

93304.48

38.6413

Suppose that we are interested in the number of hours married women spend working for wages, and we treat observations recording zero hours as observed, per the corner-solution approach discussed Wooldridge (2010, chap. 16). We use the labor supply data extracted by Mroz (1987) from the 1975 PSID for 753 married women. The variable whrs75 records the annual number of hours worked. Forty-three percent of the surveyed women worked zero hours, and the remaining women worked on average 1,303 hours a year.

We regress hours worked on household income excluding wife's income (nwinc), years of schooling (wedyrs), years of labor market experience (wexper) and its square, age (wifeage), an indicator for the presence of children under 6 years of age at home (kl6), and an indicator for the presence of children from 6 to 18 years old at home (k618).

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r18/mroz87
(1975 PSID data from Mroz, 1987)
. tobit whrs75 nwinc wedyrs wexper c.wexper#c.wexper wifeage kl6 k618, ll(0)
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0: Log likelihood = -3961.1577
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -3961.1577
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -3836.8928
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -3819.2637
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -3819.0948
Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -3819.0946
Tobit regression
                                                     Number of obs
                                                                             753
                                                                       =
                                                            Uncensored =
                                                                             428
Limits: Lower =
                                                         Left-censored =
                                                                             325
                   0
        Upper = +inf
                                                        Right-censored =
                                                                               0
                                                     LR chi2(7)
                                                                       = 271.59
                                                     Prob > chi2
                                                                       = 0.0000
Log likelihood = -3819.0946
                                                     Pseudo R2
                                                                       = 0.0343
       whrs75
                Coefficient Std. err.
                                             t
                                                  P>|t|
                                                            [95% conf. interval]
        nwinc
                 -8.814227
                             4.459089
                                          -1.98
                                                  0.048
                                                           -17.56808
                                                                       -.0603708
       wedyrs
                  80.64541
                             21.58318
                                           3.74
                                                  0.000
                                                            38.27441
                                                                        123.0164
                   131.564
                             17.27935
                                           7.61
                                                  0.000
                                                            97.64211
                                                                         165.486
       wexper
```

Unlike in example 1, we are interested in the marginal effect of the covariates on the observed outcome. We can use margins to estimate, for example, the average marginal effect of years of education on the expected value of the actual hours worked.

-3.47

-7.33

-7.99

-0.42

2.16

0.001

0.000

0.000

0.675

0.031

-2.919661

-68.9685

-1113.653

-92.07668

88.88827

1088458

-.8086455

-39.84133

-674.3875

59.64057

1841.725

1456093

. margins, dyo	dx(wedyrs) pre	edict(ystar(0	,.))		
Average marginal effects Number Model VCE: OIM					Number of obs = 753
Expression: E(whrs75* whrs75>0), predict(ystar(0,.)) dy/dx wrt: wedyrs					
	I	Delta-method			
	dy/dx	std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]
wedyrs	47.47306	12.6214	3.76	0.000	22.73558 72.21054

The average marginal effect of years of education on the actual hours worked is 47.47. See [R] tobit postestimation for more examples using margins.

4

James Tobin (1918–2002) was an American economist who after education and research at Harvard moved to Yale, where he was on the faculty from 1950 to 1988. He made many outstanding contributions to economics and was awarded the Nobel Prize in 1981 "for his analysis of financial markets and their relations to expenditure decisions, employment, production and prices". He trained in the U.S. Navy with the writer, Herman Wouk, who later fashioned a character after Tobin in the novel *The Caine Mutiny* (1951): "A mandarin-like midshipman named Tobit, with a domed forehead, measured quiet speech, and a mind like a sponge, was ahead of the field by a spacious percentage."

Stored results

tobit stores the following in e():

Scalars	
e(N)	number of observations
e(N_unc)	number of uncensored observations
e(N_lc)	number of left-censored observations
e(N_rc)	number of right-censored observations
e(k)	number of parameters
e(k_eq)	number of equations in e(b)
e(k_aux)	number of auxiliary parameters
e(k_dv)	number of dependent variables
e(df_m)	model degrees of freedom
e(df_r)	residual degrees of freedom
e(r2_p)	pseudo- R^2
e(11)	log likelihood
e(11_0)	log likelihood, constant-only model
e(N_clust)	number of clusters
e(chi2)	χ^2
e(F)	F statistic
e(p)	<i>p</i> -value for model test
e(rank)	rank of e(V)
e(ic)	number of iterations
e(rc)	return code
e(converged)	1 if converged, 0 otherwise

Macros	
e(cmd)	tobit
e(cmdline)	command as typed
e(depvar)	name of dependent variable
e(llopt)	minimum of <i>depvar</i> or contents of 11()
e(ulopt)	maximum of <i>depvar</i> or contents of ul()
e(wtype)	weight type
e(wexp)	weight expression
e(covariates)	list of covariates
e(title)	title in estimation output
e(clustvar)	name of cluster variable
e(offset)	linear offset variable
e(chi2type)	type of model χ^2 test
e(vce)	vcetype specified in vce()
e(vcetype)	title used to label Std. err.
e(opt)	type of optimization
e(which)	max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
e(method)	estimation method: ml
e(ml_method)	type of ml method
e(user)	name of likelihood-evaluator program
e(technique)	maximization technique
e(properties)	b V
e(predict)	program used to implement predict
e(marginsok)	predictions allowed by margins
e(asbalanced)	factor variables fvset as asbalanced
e(asobserved)	factor variables fvset as asobserved
Matrices	
e(b)	coefficient vector
e(Cns)	constraints matrix
e(ilog)	iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
e(gradient)	gradient vector
e(V)	variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
e(V_modelbased)	model-based variance
Functions	
e(sample)	marks estimation sample
c (bampro)	mane commuter sumpto

In addition to the above, the following is stored in r():

```
Matrices
r(table)
```

matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, *p*-values, and confidence intervals

Note that results stored in r() are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any r-class command is run after the estimation command.

Methods and formulas

See Methods and formulas in [R] intreg.

This command supports the Huber/White/sandwich estimator of the variance and its clustered version using vce(robust) and vce(cluster *clustvar*), respectively. See [P] **_robust**, particularly *Maximum likelihood estimators* and *Methods and formulas*.

tobit also supports estimation with survey data. For details on VCEs with survey data, see [SVY] Variance estimation.

References

- Amemiya, T. 1973. Regression analysis when the dependent variable is truncated normal. *Econometrica* 41: 997–1016. https://doi.org/10.2307/1914031.
- -----. 1984. Tobit models: A survey. Journal of Econometrics 24: 3–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(84)90074-5.
- Belotti, F., P. Deb, W. G. Manning, and E. C. Norton. 2015. twopm: Two-part models. Stata Journal 15: 3-20.
- Bertanha, M., A. H. McCallum, A. Payne, and N. Seegert. 2022. Bunching estimation of elasticities using Stata. Stata Journal 22: 597–624.
- Burke, W. J. 2009. Fitting and interpreting Cragg's tobit alternative using Stata. Stata Journal 9: 584-592.
- Cameron, A. C., and P. K. Trivedi. 2022. Microeconometrics Using Stata. 2nd ed. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Canette, I. 2016. Understanding truncation and censoring. *The Stata Blog: Not Elsewhere Classified.* http://blog.stata.com/2016/12/13/understanding-truncation-and-censoring/.
- Chernozhukov, V., I. Fernández-Val, S. Han, and A. Kowalski. 2019. Censored quantile instrumental-variable estimation with Stata. *Stata Journal* 19: 768–781.
- Cong, R. 2000. sg144: Marginal effects of the tobit model. Stata Technical Bulletin 56: 27–34. Reprinted in Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints, vol. 10, pp. 189–197. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Deb, P., E. C. Norton, and W. G. Manning. 2017. Health Econometrics Using Stata. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Drukker, D. M. 2002. Bootstrapping a conditional moments test for normality after tobit estimation. *Stata Journal* 2: 125–139.
- Goldberger, A. S. 1983. Abnormal selection bias. In Studies in Econometrics, Time Series, and Multivariate Statistics, ed. S. Karlin, T. Amemiya, and L. A. Goodman, 67–84. New York: Academic Press.
- Hurd, M. 1979. Estimation in truncated samples when there is heteroscedasticity. Journal of Econometrics 11: 247–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(79)90039-3.
- Long, J. S. 1997. Regression Models for Categorical and Limited Dependent Variables. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Maddala, G. S., and K. Lahiri. 2006. Introduction to Econometrics. 4th ed. New York: Wiley.
- McDonald, J. F., and R. A. Moffitt. 1980. The use of tobit analysis. Review of Economics and Statistics 62: 318–321. https://doi.org/10.2307/1924766.
- Mroz, T. A. 1987. The sensitivity of an empirical model of married women's hours of work to economic and statistical assumptions. *Econometrica* 55: 765–799. https://doi.org/10.2307/1911029.
- Sánchez-Peñalver, A. 2019. Estimation methods in the presence of corner solutions. Stata Journal 19: 87-111.
- Shiller, R. J. 1999. The ET interview: Professor James Tobin. *Econometric Theory* 15: 867–900. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466699156056.
- Stewart, M. B. 1983. On least squares estimation when the dependent variable is grouped. Review of Economic Studies 50: 737–753. https://doi.org/10.2307/2297773.
- Tobin, J. 1958. Estimation of relationships for limited dependent variables. *Econometrica* 26: 24–36. https://doi.org/10.2307/1907382.
- Wooldridge, J. M. 2010. Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data. 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Also see

- [R] tobit postestimation Postestimation tools for tobit
- [R] heckman Heckman selection model
- [R] **intreg** Interval regression
- [R] ivtobit Tobit model with continuous endogenous covariates
- [R] regress Linear regression
- [R] truncreg Truncated regression
- [BAYES] bayes: tobit Bayesian tobit regression
- [FMM] fmm: tobit Finite mixtures of tobit regression models
- [ERM] eintreg Extended interval regression
- [ME] metobit Multilevel mixed-effects tobit regression
- [SVY] svy estimation Estimation commands for survey data
- [XT] xtintreg Random-effects interval-data regression models
- [XT] **xttobit** Random-effects tobit models
- [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

Stata, Stata Press, and Mata are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2023 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.

